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## Executive Summary

In an effort to gain relevant information from the communities that we assist and for the purposes of planning and promoting changes in our service delivery area (SDA), Concho Valley Community Action Agency (CVCAA) has conducted a Community Needs Assessment (CNA). The following counties were assessed during this process: Coke, Concho, Crockett, Irion, Kimble, Menard, Reagan, Schleicher, Sterling, Sutton and Tom Green. Qualitative and quantitative data was collected using community forums, focus groups, key informant surveys, stakeholder questionnaires, a board forum and a general survey for customers and community members.

Quantitative data was also generated using the Community Commons website, the United States Census Bureau website. The Community Commons source material can be found in Appendix B.

This document follows the guidance issued in Community Needs Assessment Guide: A Guide for Texas Community Action Agencies on How to Conduct a Community Needs Assessment. This report is also compliant with the Results Oriented Management Accountability (ROMA) Cycle and will be the basis for CVCAA's Strategic Plan and Community Action Plan.


## Background on Community Needs Assessment

Entities receiving Community Services Block Grant funds must develop and maintain a current CNA. The properly constructed CNA is necessary to fulfill the following Organizational Standards:
1.2 The organization analyzes information collected directly from low-income individuals as part of the community assessment.
2.2 The organization utilizes information gathered from key sectors of the community in assessing the needs and resources, during the community assessment process or other times. These sections include at minimum: community-based organizations, faith-based organizations, private sector, public sector, and educational institutions.
3.1 Conduct it every 3 years.
3.2 Collects current poverty data and its prevalence related to gender, age, and race/ethnicity.
3.3 Collects and analyzes both qualitative and quantitative data on its service area.
3.4 Includes key findings on the causes and conditions of poverty and the associated needs.
3.5 Governing board formally accepts the completed assessment.
4.2 Informs an outcome-based and anti-poverty focused Community Action Plan.
4.3 The organization's Community Action Plan and Strategic Plan document the continuous use of the full ROMA cycle of comparable system (assessment, planning, implementation, achievement of results, and evaluation). In addition, the organization documents having used the services of a ROMA-certified trainer (or equivalent) to assist in implementation.
6.4 Customer satisfaction data and input identified is considered in the strategic planning process.

The properly constructed CNA is developed in five steps: plan, collect quantitative data, collect qualitative data, analyze data, and develop report.

## Organizational Profile

CVCAA is a public 501(c)(3) non-profit corporation established in 1966 under the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 to fight America's War on Poverty. CVCAA's mission is "Fighting poverty in our communities through public and private partnerships." CVCAA is governed by a tripartite 15 member volunteer Board of Directors (five members from each of the three sectors - public, private, and low income). CVCAA's office and all records are located in Tom Green County at 36 E. Twohig, Ste. B2, San Angelo, Texas.

CVCAA currently provides utility assistance and home weatherization to low-income residents of the Concho Valley counties of Coke, Concho, Crockett, Irion, Kimble, Menard, Reagan, Schleicher, Sterling, Sutton, and Tom Green. CVCAA also administers home weatherization in Runnels, McCullough, and Coleman counties. CVCAA participates in the Internal Revenue Service sponsored Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) program.


## Community Needs Assessment Results Overview



Lack of safe, affordable housing stock ranked high in every county. The median age of homes within the CVCAA SDA is well over the state and national average. The Cline Shale boom seems to have driven up rents, particularly in the southern and western portions of the area, including Tom Green County which has the largest population in our SDA.


Lack of transportation is prominent in every county. Lowincome persons residing in the northern and eastern portions of the SDA may be missing out on the Cline Shale boom due to lack of reliant, affordable transportation. Transportation issues affect healthcare also. Many counties lack access to adequate healthcare within the county.


Financial literacy appears to be lacking in portions of the SDA. This may correlate with low education/literacy rates and few, if any, financial/budgeting classes offered. All counties had less persons represented with higher education degrees than the rest of the state.


Generally, low-income persons residing in the Concho Valley require assistance with coordinating services. CVCAA needs to strengthen our case management program working one on one with the customer/families to assist them in finding the resources and social services they need in order to become more self-sufficient.


Inadequate job/skills training may be preventing low-income persons from acquiring work with a living wage. Barriers to obtaining job/skills training may include affordability, transportation, and lack of child care.

## Community Needs Assessment Process Overview

To determine needs within the CVCAA SDA, the following methods and timeline were utilized:
Community Needs Assessment training - January 17-19
Community Needs Assessment Committee formed and timeline developed - February 1
Community Needs Assessment Committee meets - February 12
Stakeholder and organization list developed - February 12-16
Community needs surveys and customer satisfaction surveys - February 13 - April 27
Board of Directors planning session - February 14
Stakeholder and organization surveys - February 20 - March 30
Quantitative data collected - February 26 - March 2
Community forums and focus group conducted - March 11 - April 30
Community Needs Assessment Committee complied report per TDHCA guidelines - May 1-25
Community Needs Assessment presented/approved by CVCAA Board of Directors - May 30
Community Needs Assessment presented to TDHCA - June 1


## Data Collection Methods

Surveys (paper and online) - February 13 - April 27 (Included low-income individuals, stakeholders, and representatives from various organizations)

Concho Valley Homeless Planning Coalition forum - March 12 (City of San Angelo Neighborhood and Family Services Director in attendance)

Ministerial Alliance forum (Eldorado, Schleicher County) - March 13 (Members of the faithbased community in attendance)
Health and Social Services forum - March 30 (Health-care related professionals in attendance) Board of Directors forum - April 18 (Includes county officials, city officials, and educators)

St. Paul Presbyterian Church forum - April 22 (Community volunteers and members of the faithbased community in attendance)

Journey Bible Church forum - April 22 (Educators, members of the faith-based community, mental health-care related professionals, community volunteers in attendance)

Data from surveys and forums summarized - April 16 - May 1
CVCAA focus group - April 30 (Included all staff)

Summary of Data Collection Methods:
Surveys (paper and online) - 317
Customer satisfaction surveys - 74
Community forums - 5
Board of Directors forum - 1
Focus group - 1

| Surveys by County |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Coke | 10 |
| Concho | 18 |
| Crockett | 21 |
| Irion | 3 |
| Kimble | 4 |
| Menard | 15 |
| Reagan | 3 |
| Schleicher | 12 |
| Sterling | 7 |
| Sutton | 21 |
| Tom Green | 174 |
| Unknown | 29 |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 1 7}$ |

## Community Profile

Located on the edge of the Chihuahua Desert, the Concho Valley experiences climates ranging from moderate and lush on the northern and eastern edges to arid on the southwestern side. Rivers run through the Concho Valley, however, water can become a scarce commodity as periods of drought often creep into the region. The Cline Shale lies underneath the southwestern portion of the Concho Valley greatly affecting jobs, the economy, and housing stock. Given the region's abundance of open land, agriculture also plays a vital part in the economy. Cattle, sheep, goats, cotton, wheat, and hay figure prominently. The region is dissected by several highways, including $87,83,277$, and 67 . No interstate runs though the Concho Valley, however, Interstate 10 borders the south.

The City of San Angelo is the region's largest, most influential city. In fact, the population of San Angelo alone is nearly double that of the remainder of the Concho Valley. The population in San Angelo has seen an $8 \%$ growth from the 2010 Census data $(93,200)$ to the data collected in 2016 $(100,702)$. This growth has been tied to the oil boom in the western parts of our SDA.

Many social services accessed in San Angelo are not available or may be difficult to obtain in the remainder of the SDA. The Concho Valley Transit District provides intra-city transit and intercounty travel opportunities. San Angelo is home to Goodfellow Air Force Base which specializes in military intelligence and firefighting. Angelo State University, located in San Angelo, is aligned with the Texas Tech University system.

Due to its proximity to Mexico, the Concho Valley has a high Hispanic population. Many Hispanics work in the agricultural industry. Increased efficiency in agricultural techniques means that there are less opportunities for young people living in the rural areas. Youth flight is a growing concern.

The Concho Valley is home to a small number of regional hospitals. However, the two largest healthcare providers are in the city of San Angelo. Residents living in the southeastern portion of the area often choose to drive to Kerrville for their healthcare needs. Healthcare and transportation to appointments are a growing concern in the rural areas.

## Key Findings on Causes and Conditions of Poverty

## Barriers and Gaps in Services

At 3.2 percent, the unemployment rate in the CVCAA SDA was lower than the national average in 2017 ( 4 percent). This takes into account the drop in oil and gas prices which greatly affects employment in the region. Employment associated with this industry typically pays above living wage. Therefore, poverty increases during down turns in oil and gas prices. Market stabilization and the implementation of new, more efficient technologies have led to an increase in regional employment. Employment is a key factor in reducing poverty.

Single parent female headed households account for a greater percentage of households in poverty than those of single parent male headed households and married households combined. This prevalence is due in part to the growing trend of children born outside of marriage. Access to flexible employment that pays a living wage would help to bridge this gap.

Commute times in the CVCAA SDA closely resemble the national average. However, commute times vary greatly across the counties, so access to reliable transportation may be a barrier to gaining employment. Without reliable transportation, individuals are unable take advantage of employment opportunities. Also, coupled with the fact that health care facilities are not distributed evenly among the counties, lack of reliable transportation can adversely affect one's medical well-being. The Concho Valley Transit District (CVTD) is working to provide low-cost/nocost transportation to the rural areas and within urban centers.

There is a high percentage of persons with no high school diploma or with only a high school diploma in the SDA. Lack of education limits one's work opportunities and can increase poverty. Reliable transportation from rural to urban centers may be a factor. CVTD may be able to help those in need overcome this barrier.

Illiteracy affects all areas of the CVCAA SDA. Those with low literacy skills are far more likely to live in poverty. Adult literacy courses should be made available, particularly in rural areas of the Concho Valley.

The median age of homes in the CVCAA SDA is significantly older than the state and national averages. Older homes require more maintenance. Homes that fall into disrepair are health hazards for the occupants. Home repair and weatherization programs will help address this issue.

Generally, counties nearer to oil fields have higher household incomes. Low-cost/no-cost transportation will allow persons living in these counties greater access to living wage employment further from their residences. CVTD is providing this service and is continually evaluating revisions to and/or expansion of routes.

Governmental services are not being utilized. For example, there are more families eligible and not receiving SNAP than eligible and receiving. Distance from outreach centers may be a factor. CVTD programs can assist persons with transportation.

Over $1 / 5$ of the population residing in the CVCAA SDA is uninsured. The leading cause of bankruptcy in America is medical debt. When applicable, persons will be referred to Medicaid. Those ineligible for Medicaid will be referred to the marketplace.

## County Profiles ${ }^{1}$

## COKE COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 501 | $16 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 148 | $22 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 104 | $20 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 326 | $19 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 65 | $8 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 274 | $18 \%$ |
| Male | 260 | $16 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 470 | $16 \%$ |
| Latino | 169 | $15 \%$ |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Native American/Alaskan | 8 | $20 \%$ |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | 49 | $35 \%$ |
| Multiple race | 7 | $100 \%$ |

Second highest percentage of Hispanics living in poverty (26.95\%). Significantly more young males, ages $0-17$, living in poverty than females ( $29.1 \%$ vs. $17.27 \%$ ). Poverty is disproportionally high among Hispanic children, ages $0-17$ than non-Hispanic children ( $46.25 \%$ vs. $16.25 \%$ ). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty than non-Hispanics (33.33\% vs. 0\%). No homes without plumbing.

Trend: Population decrease ( $16.46 \%$ ). Decrease in poverty (.2\%). Increase in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (.1\%). Unemployment decrease (.52\%).

[^0]
## CONCHO COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 682 | $26 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 151 | $27 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 105 | $24 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 235 | $8 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 50 | $8 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 196 | $16 \%$ |
| Male | 97 | $6 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 291 | $11 \%$ |
| Latino | 147 | $15 \%$ |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | N/A | N/A |
| Multiple race | 2 | 25\% |

High percentage of persons living in poverty (25.8\%). The presence of a detention center housing non-citizens may skew the poverty and race/ethnicity data. Second highest change in poverty rate (.4\%). Of families living in poverty, $77.3 \%$ are married couples. Significantly more young females, ages $0-17$, living in poverty than males ( $35.63 \%$ vs. $8.07 \%$ ). Greatest increase in unemployment rate (1.38\%), may be attributed to closing of major business (detention center). Longest commute time ( 45.97 minutes) indicating the many persons drive to San Angelo daily. Highest percentage of persons with no high school diploma or equivalent, probably skewed due to inclusion of detention center. Lowest percentage of owner occupied homes (35.34\%), probably due to detention center employees renting rather than buying home. Lowest residential vacancy rate (0\%) as well as business vacancy rate (0\%). Highest number of students receiving subsidized lunches (73.31\%)

Trend: Population increase (4.44\%). Increase in poverty (.4\%). Increase in the rate of children (age 0 - 17) in poverty (.4\%). Unemployment increase (1.38\%).

## CROCKETT COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 612 | $17 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 266 | $29 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 173 | $26 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 375 | $16 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 112 | $21 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 519 | $27 \%$ |
| Male | 332 | $18 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 285 | $17 \%$ |
| Latino | 639 | $27 \%$ |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | 566 | $28 \%$ |
| Multiple race | N/A | N/A |

Highest percentages of males (17.93\%) and females (27.3\%) living in poverty. Of families living in poverty, $86.4 \%$ have females as the head of household. Significant increase in poverty among children ages $5-17$ (3.4\%). Highest poverty rate among children ages $5-17$ (40.1\%). Poverty is disproportionally high among Hispanic children, ages 0-17 than non-Hispanic children (50.55\% vs. $6.61 \%)$. Poverty rate among small children, ages $0-4$, is significantly higher than State average ( $42 \%$ vs. $26.1 \%$ ). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty than nonHispanics ( $50.4 \%$ vs. $17.65 \%$ ). Percentage of seniors living in poverty is significantly greater than the State average ( $20.7 \%$ vs. $10.8 \%$ ). Greatest decrease in unemployment rate ( $3.45 \%$ ), probably due to fracking. No homes without plumbing.

Trend: Population decrease (6.42\%). Decrease in poverty (1.1\%). Increase in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (.2.8\%). Decrease in unemployment (3.45\%).

## IRION COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 125 | $8 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 48 | $13 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 32 | $12 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 112 | $12 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 5 | $2 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 99 | $12 \%$ |
| Male | 92 | $11 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 185 | $13 \%$ |
| Latino | 59 | $16 \%$ |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Multiple race | N/A | N/A |

Lowest percentage of persons living in poverty (8\%). Poverty rate among small children, ages 0 4, is significantly higher than State average ( $34.2 \%$ vs. $26.1 \%$ ). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty than non-Hispanics ( $61.7 \%$ vs. $16.44 \%$ ). Percentage of seniors living in poverty is significantly lower than the State average ( $2.2 \%$ vs. $10.8 \%$ ). Low unemployment rate ( $3.1 \%$ ). Shortest commute to work time ( 11.44 minutes). Lowest percentage of persons without high school diploma or equivalent (8.4\%). Highest adult literacy rate (88\%). Lowest percentage of uninsured persons (13.6\%).

Trend: Population decrease (7.91\%). Decrease in poverty (2.3\%). Decrease in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (1.5\%). Decrease in unemployment (.13\%)

## KIMBLE COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 848 | $19 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 313 | $38 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 219 | $36 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 491 | $21 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 129 | $10 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 445 | $20 \%$ |
| Male | 386 | $18 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 773 | $19 \%$ |
| Latino | 317 | $32 \%$ |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| Other race | 53 | $21 \%$ |
| Multiple race | N/A | N/A |

Kimble County has the highest percentage of veterans (14.33\%) which might be explained due its proximity to the nearest VA hospital (Kerrville). Highest change in poverty rate (.6\%). Highest percentage of Hispanics living in poverty (32.25\%). Note, Kimble County is the closest county to the border of Mexico. Largest increase in poverty among children ages $5-17$ (7.7\%). Significant poverty rate among children $5-17$ years of age (29.4\%). Significantly more young males, ages 0 - 17, living in poverty than females ( $34.53 \%$ vs. $22.89 \%$ ). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty than non-Hispanics ( $4.98 \%$ vs. $7.45 \%$ ). Highest residential vacancy rate (11.3\%) as well as business vacancy rate (16.5\%). Furthest county from Cline Shale. No homes without plumbing. Significant number of students receiving subsidized lunches (64.21\%).

Trends: Population decrease (.34\%). Increase in poverty (.6\%). Increase in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty ( $8.6 \%$ ). Decrease in unemployment (.37\%).

MENARD COUNTY


| Poverty, all ages | 446 | $21 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 155 | $39 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 110 | $38 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 163 | $14 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 48 | $11 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 171 | $17 \%$ |
| Male | 111 | $10 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 230 | $13 \%$ |
| Latino | 130 | $16 \%$ |
| Black or African American | 15 | $69 \%$ |
| Native American/Alaskan | 13 | $43 \%$ |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | N/A | N/A |
| Multiple race | 24 | $10 \%$ |

Greatest decrease in poverty rate (2.8\%). Very high percentage of Black or African Americans living in poverty ( $68.18 \%$ ). Not many live in the county, so a few living in poverty skews the data. Highest percentage of Native American/Alaskan living in poverty (43.3\%). Of families living in poverty, $86.4 \%$ have females as the head of household. Significant increase in poverty among children ages $5-17$ ( $4.1 \%$ ). Poverty rate among small children, ages $0-4$, is significantly higher than State average ( $42 \%$ vs. 26.1\%). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty than non-Hispanics ( $60.78 \%$ vs. $10 \%$ ). $100 \%$ of young Black children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty. This may be due to the small Black population. The only county with an unemployment rate greater than the State average ( $4.7 \%$ vs. $3.7 \%$ ). Oldest housing stock (median age 50 years). Significant number of students receiving subsidized lunches (68.15\%). Highest percentage of households receiving SNAP (21.05\%). Greatest percentage of uninsured persons (30.7\%).

Trend: Population decrease (8.35\%). Decrease in poverty (2.8\%). Increase in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (2\%). Increase in unemployment (.35\%).

## REAGAN COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 423 | $12 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 197 | $19 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 141 | $18 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 336 | $15 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 14 | $4 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 274 | $16 \%$ |
| Male | 356 | $19 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 123 | $7 \%$ |
| Latino | 594 | $25 \%$ |
| Black or African American | 9 | $13 \%$ |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | 498 | $29 \%$ |
| Multiple race | N/A | N/A |

Lowest percentage of veterans (3.44\%). It is the furthest county from veterans' services and hospitals. Low percent of persons living in poverty (11.8\%), perhaps from proximity to Cline Shale. Significant poverty rate among children $5-17$ years of age ( $28.9 \%$ ). Poverty is disproportionally high among Hispanic children, ages $0-17$ than non-Hispanic children ( $34.21 \%$ vs. $8.25 \%$ ). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages 0 - 4, live in poverty than non-Hispanics (37.1\% vs. 0\%). Percentage of seniors living in poverty is significantly lower than the State average (4.3\% vs. $10.8 \%$ ). Tied highest adult illiteracy ( $25 \%$ ). Youngest housing stock (median age 36 years). Highest percentage of owner occupied homes (60.63\%). No homes without plumbing. Lowest percentage of households receiving SNAP (3.03\%). Significant percentage of uninsured persons (24.9\%).

Trend: Population increase (8.99\%). Decrease in poverty (2.3\%). Increase in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (1.9\%). Decrease in unemployment (2.3\%).

SCHLEICHER COUNTY


| Poverty, all ages | 503 | $17 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 215 | $26 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 149 | $23 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 1764 | $10 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 89 | $19 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 246 | $16 \%$ |
| Male | 171 | $11 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 243 | $11 \%$ |
| Latino | 316 | $20 \%$ |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | 174 | $18 \%$ |
| Multiple race | N/A | N/A |

Tied for third greatest decrease in poverty rate (2.6\%). Of families living in poverty, $69.6 \%$ are married couples. Greatest decrease in poverty among children $5-17$ (3.2\%). Poverty is disproportionally high among Hispanic children, ages $0-17$ than non-Hispanic children (27.24\% vs. $0 \%$ ). Poverty rate among small children, ages $0-4$, is significantly lower than State average ( $15 \%$ vs. $26.1 \%$ ). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty than nonHispanics ( $23.88 \%$ vs. $0 \%$ ). Percentage of seniors living in poverty is significantly greater than the State average (19.1\% vs. 10.8\%). Lowest unemployment rate (3\%). Greatest percentage of homes without plumbing (1.01\%). Significant percentage of uninsured persons (24.6\%).

Trend: Population increase (8.04\%). Decrease in poverty (2.6\%). Decrease in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty ( $2.5 \%$ ). Decrease in unemployment (2.25\%).

## STERLING COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 169 | $13 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 80 | $21 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 57 | $20 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 66 | $9 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 6 | $4 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 91 | $16 \%$ |
| Male | 93 | $15 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 184 | $17 \%$ |
| Latino | 56 | $12 \%$ |
| Black or African American | N/A | N/A |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | N/A | N/A |
| Multiple race | N/A | N/A |

Small number of veterans (4.92\%). Far from veterans' services and hospitals. Low percentage of persons living in poverty ( $12.7 \%$ ), perhaps from proximity to Cline Shale. Lowest percentage of Hispanics living in poverty (12.42\%). Of families living in poverty, $75 \%$ are married couples. Significant poverty rate among children 5-17 years of age (35\%). Significantly more young males, ages $0-17$, living in poverty than females ( $43.8 \%$ vs. $30 \%$ ). Poverty is disproportionally high among non-Hispanic children, ages $0-17$ than Hispanic children ( $56.74 \%$ vs. $18.95 \%$ ). Poverty rate among small children, ages $0-4$, is significantly higher than State average (36.3\% vs. 26.1\%). Significantly more non-Hispanic young children, ages $0-4$, live in poverty than Hispanics ( $68.97 \%$ vs. $7.58 \%)$. Percentage of seniors living in poverty is significantly lower than the State average ( $3.8 \%$ vs. $10.8 \%$ ). Highest percentage of persons having earned a bachelor's degree (16.4\%). No homes without plumbing. Least number of students receiving subsidized lunches (39.76\%)

Trend: Population decrease (11.49\%). Decrease in poverty (1.1\%). Decrease in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (1\%). Decrease in unemployment (1.94\%).

## SUTTON COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 542 | $14 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 221 | $23 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 154 | $21 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 132 | $6 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 66 | $14 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 178 | $10 \%$ |
| Male | 180 | $9 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 251 | $9 \%$ |
| Latino | 326 | $14 \%$ |
| Black or African American | 1 | $5 \%$ |
| Native American/Alaskan | N/A | N/A |
| Asian | N/A | N/A |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | 106 | $12 \%$ |
| Multiple race | N/A | N/A |

Tied for third greatest decrease in poverty rate (2.6\%). Second lowest percentage of Hispanics living in poverty ( $14.3 \%$ ). Of families living in poverty, $71.1 \%$ are married couples. Poverty is disproportionally high among Hispanic children, ages 0-17 than non-Hispanic children (17.97\% vs. $0 \%$ ). Significantly more Hispanic young children, ages 0-4, live in poverty than non-Hispanics (31.54\% vs. 0\%). Tied highest adult illiteracy (25\%). No homes without plumbing. Significant percentage of uninsured persons (22.4\%).

Trend: Population decrease (3.46\%). Decrease in poverty (2.6\%). Decrease in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (1.1\%). Decrease in unemployment ( $2.45 \%$ ).

## TOM GREEN COUNTY



| Poverty, all ages | 15,144 | $13 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Age 0-17 | 5451 | $20 \%$ |
| Age 5-17 | 3785 | $19 \%$ |
| Age 18-64 | 9380 | $13 \%$ |
| Age 65 and older | 1596 | $10 \%$ |
| Poverty by gender |  |  |
| Female | 8804 | $16 \%$ |
| Male | 6463 | $12 \%$ |
| Poverty by race/ethnicity |  |  |
| White | 12,761 | $13 \%$ |
| Latino | 8024 | $19 \%$ |
| Black or African American | 965 | $23 \%$ |
| Native American/Alaskan | 119 | $34 \%$ |
| Asian | 117 | $9 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific | N/A | N/A |
| Other race | 920 | $15 \%$ |
| Multiple race | 385 | $15 \%$ |

Large population of veterans (11.93\%) may come from Goodfellow Air Force Base located in San Angelo. Second highest decrease in poverty rate (2.7\%). Black or African Americans living in poverty ( $22.56 \%$ ) mirrors State average (22.63\%). Native American/Alaskan living in poverty (34.29\%) is greater than the State average (21.17\%). Low unemployment rate (3.1\%).

Trend: Population increase (11.78\%). Decrease in poverty (2.7\%). Decrease in the rate of children (age $0-17$ ) in poverty (3.1\%). Decrease in unemployment (1.17\%).

## Top Five Needs for Service Delivery Area Categorized by Domain

## Domain: FNPI 2 Education and Cognitive Development

- Individuals need job skills to acquire employment with a living wage.


## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- CVCAA needs to strengthen our case management program for families, linking them with the resources that they need in order to become self-sufficient.
- Families lack the financial resources to pay for transportation.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need financial assistance to obtain safe and affordable housing.



## Top 5 Needs per County Categorized by Domain

## Coke County

## Domain: FNPI 1 Employment

- Individuals need assistance finding a job with a living wage.


## Domain: FNPI 2 Education and Cognitive Development

- Individuals need job skills to acquire employment with a living wage.


## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- Families lack the financial resources to pay for transportation.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Elderly and disabled individuals lack the financial resources and skills needed to maintain their independence.


## Concho County

## Domain: FNPI 2 Education and Cognitive Development

- Individuals need job skills to acquire employment with a living wage.


## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need assistance with home energy efficiency improvements.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Families need additional programs, activities, leadership skills workshops, and greater involvement with the community.
- Individuals need assistance with food.


## Crockett County

## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- Families lack the financial resources to pay for transportation.
- CVCAA needs to strengthen our case management program for families, linking them with the resources that they need in order to become self-sufficient.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need financial assistance to obtain safe and affordable housing.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals lack affordable healthcare.
- Individuals need assistance with food.


## Irion County

## Domain: FNPI 2 Education and Cognitive Development

- Individuals need job skills to acquire employment with a living wage.


## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack the financial resources to pay for transportation.
- CVCAA needs to strengthen our case management program for families, linking them with the resources that they need in order to become self-sufficient.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals lack affordable healthcare.
- Elderly and disabled individuals lack the financial resources and skills needed to maintain their independence.


## Kimble County

## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need assistance with home energy efficiency improvements.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals need assistance with food.
- Individuals need mental and/or behavioral health counseling or classes.


## Domain: CNPI 3 Infrastructure and Asset Building

- Communities need revitalization and neighborhood clean-up projects.


## Menard County

## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need assistance with home energy efficiency improvements.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals need assistance with food.
- Individuals need assistance with applying for Social Security, SSDI, WIC, TANF and WIC.


## Domain: CNPI 3 Infrastructure and Asset Building

- Communities need revitalization and neighborhood clean-up projects.


## Reagan County

## Domain: FNPI 2 Education and Cognitive Development

- Individuals need ESL classes.


## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- CVCAA needs to strengthen our case management program for families, linking them with the resources that they need in order to become self-sufficient.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need financial assistance to obtain safe and affordable housing.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals need mental and/or behavioral health counseling or classes.


## Schleicher County

## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- Families lack the financial resources to pay for transportation.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need assistance with home energy efficiency improvements.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals lack affordable healthcare.
- Individuals need prescription assistance.


## Sterling County

## Domain: FNPI 2 Education and Cognitive Development

- Individuals need job skills to acquire employment with a living wage.


## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- Individuals need assistance with applying for Social Security, SSDI, WIC, TANF and WIC.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need assistance with home energy efficiency improvements.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals need mental and/or behavioral health counseling or classes.


## Sutton County

## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- Families lack the financial resources to pay for transportation.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need financial assistance to obtain safe and affordable housing.
- Families need assistance with home energy efficiency improvements.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals need assistance with food.


## Tom Green County

## Domain: FNPI 3 Income and Asset Building

- Families lack life skills which include budgeting and financial literacy.
- CVCAA needs to strengthen our case management program for families, linking them with the resources that they need in order to become self-sufficient.


## Domain: FNPI 4 Housing

- Families need financial assistance to obtain safe and affordable housing.


## Domain: FNPI 5 Health/Social Behavioral Development

- Individuals need assistance with food.
- Individuals need affordable health insurance.


## Organizational Strengths, Assets, and Challenges

CVCAA has undergone various organizational restructuring and staffing changes during the first quarter of calendar year 2018. A key employee with over 40 years of experience retired at the end of the previous year. We are certain that the enthusiasm, willingness to learn, and imagination of our current staff will overcome the various personnel changes that have taken place within our agency.

Two of the three recent hires are former clients. We believe they will have greater empathy in relating to our customers since they have been in similar situations. They also have a greater understanding of our programs and the community resources available. New employees provide a different perspective and a fresh view of our delivery of services.

CVCAA has recently implemented a mail in application process for utility assistance for our rural counties. Although we are still perfecting our process, we believe this will allow us to better serve our customers within these counties. We are also fostering better partnerships with several local agencies to allow elderly and disabled clients in Tom Green County to mail in applications if transportation poses a barrier to receiving assistance.

In recent months, unexpected staff turnover has caused the agency to process less walk-in customer applications. However, once new staff is fully trained, we will be able to increase the number of available appointments, and we will be able to handle more walk-ins. Program directors are also engaged in better communication with staff and other program directors. Staff meetings are now being held regularly in which directors inform staff of programmatic changes and request their input.


## Survey and Interview Quotes

"Affordable housing is key. It can be home ownership or rental."
"We must have City Council address the red tape city building ordinances have created. They are stifling development inside city limits."
"Gaps and barriers for services are best stated as lack of knowledge and/or awareness of such programs to assist these households. Continued effective education and public promotion will reduce issues listed above."
". . . awareness of programs available and spread word of what's available."
"information distribution" was suggested several times
"Help w/food and social skills. Socialization of kids," are needed.
"Help find a way to get affordable housing into the rural communities."
"Need more coordination of community resources to reduce burden on applicants."
"Helping a person become self-sufficient financially once some of the other immediate needs are met."
"Making sure communication takes place among all agencies in the community to eliminate overlap of services and use those for gaps."
"The biggest barrier from my perspective is lack of I.D.s from the homeless."
"A local intranet would be helpful."
"Training them to depend on their own self instead of wanting someone do for them."
"Having the tools to work with."
"Stop the government interference on how to get the problem done."
"Use local non-profit organizations already working together to provide services offered by CAA but if possible reduce applications and reporting."
"We have so many that could use assistance to insulate, for heating or cooling but do not qualify for an assistance due to requirements."
"Any change is hard to accept. A change is a barrier and for that leaves a gap in our community between the less fortunate and those well off. Everyone has a good heart, but not strong enough to make a change where it is needed. Using the local non profit organization already reaching out as a tool to make changes will be more receptive by everyone."

Gaps in services are "(d)ue to great need, length of time waiting for services and funding."
"Barriers: lack of overall community participation in discussing needs and seeking options."
"Parental guidance and leadership is key. Drugs are a serious problem. Health care needs."
"Face to face interaction w/those in need is crucial and I believe needs to be done by representatives of the CVCAA. If local individuals did this, those in need could decline the offer of help because of perceived pride/shame."

# Community Commons Community Needs Assessment Report 

## Community Needs Assessment Online Tool

## Report Area

Coke County, TX; Concho County, TX; Crockett County, TX; Irion County, TX; Kimble County, TX; Menard County, TX; Reagan County, TX; Schleicher County, TX; Sterling County, TX; Sutton County, TX; Tom Green County, TX

## Data Category

Population Profile | Employment | Education | Housing | Income | Nutrition | Health Care

## Population Profile

Data Indicators

- Population Change
- Age and Gender Demographics
- Race Demographics
- Veterans, Age and Gender Demographics
- Poverty
- Poverty Rate Change
- Households in Poverty
- Poverty Rate (ACS)
- Families in Poverty by

Family Type

- Family Poverty Rate by Family Type
- Poverty Rate Change (Age 0-17)
- Poverty Rate Change (Age 0-4)
- Poverty Rate Change (Age 5-17)
- Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 0-17
- Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 0-4
- Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 5-17
- Seniors in Poverty


## Population Change

Population change within the report area from 2000-2016 is shown below. During the fourteen-year period, total population estimates for the report area grew by 8.38 percent, increasing from 136,269 persons in 2000 to 147,682 persons in 2016.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report <br> Area | Total <br> Population, <br> 2016 ACS | Total <br> Population, <br> 2000 Census | Population <br> Change from <br> 2000-2016 <br> Census/ACS | Percent <br> Change from <br> 2000-2016 <br> Census/ACS |  |
| Report | 147,682 | 136,269 | 11,413 | $8.38 \%$ |  |


| Tom | 116,264 | 104,010 | 12,254 | $11.78 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Green <br> County, |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | $26,956,435$ | $20,851,820$ | $6,104,615$ | $29.28 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $318,558,162$ | $281,421,906$ | $37,136,256$ | $13.2 \%$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. US Census
Bureau, Decennial Census. 2012-16. Source geography: County



## Population, Density (Persons per Sq Mile) by Tract, ACS 2012-16



Over 5,000
1,001-5,000
501-1,000
51-500
Under 51
No Data or Data Suppressed
Report Area

## Age and Gender Demographics

Population by gender within the report area is shown below. According to ACS 2012-2016 5 year population estimates for the report area, the female population comprised $50.01 \%$ of the report area, while the male population represented $49.99 \%$.

| Report | 0 to 4 | 0 to 4 | 5 to 17 | 5 to 17 | 18 to 64 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area | Male | Female | 18 to 64 <br> Male | Female 64 <br> Male | Over 64 <br> Female |  |  |  |
| Report | 5,044 | 4,741 | 12,718 | 12,019 | 46,390 | 44,136 | 9,119 | 12,395 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Kimble | 174 | 54 | 249 | 278 | 1,116 | 1,220 | 547 | 739 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 51 | 30 | 246 | 186 | 613 | 551 | 188 | 246 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 144 | 94 | 368 | 401 | 1,236 | 1,002 | 158 | 195 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleich er | 107 | 107 | 379 | 328 | 904 | 860 | 207 | 261 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 87 | 45 | 131 | 76 | 374 | 361 | 52 | 100 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 132 | 131 | 456 | 368 | 1,123 | 1,078 | 354 | 265 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom | 3,944 | 4,005 | 9,863 | 9,520 | 36,275 | 35,875 | 6,616 | 9,343 |
| Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 1,006,68 | 964,006 | 2,632,45 | 2,529,33 | 8,369,72 | 8,357,66 | 1,249,20 | 1,726,25 |
|  | 0 |  | 1 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 6 |
| United | 10,154,0 | 9,712,9 | 27,455,8 | 26,289,6 | 98,851,3 | 99,913,7 | 18,244,7 | 25,876,5 |
| States | 24 | 36 | 69 | 09 | 01 | 91 | 16 | 04 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County

## Age and Gender Demographics

Report Area


Median Age by Tract, ACS 2012-16


Adult Ages (18-65)

| Repor | 18 to | 18 to | 25 to | 25 to | 35 to | 35 to | 45 to | 45 to | 55 to | 55 to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| t Area | 24 | 24 | 34 | 34 | 44 | 44 | 54 | 54 | 64 | 64 |
|  | Male |  | Male |  | Male |  | Male |  | Male |  |


|  |  | Femal <br> e |  | Femal <br> e |  | Femal <br> e |  | Femal <br> e |  | Fema <br> e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Repor <br> t Area | 9,478 | 7,825 | 11,239 | 10,136 | 8,286 | 7,984 | 8,662 | 8,876 | 8,725 | 9,315 |
| Coke Count y, TX | 125 | 70 | 64 | 128 | 182 | 202 | 255 | 219 | 237 | 236 |
| Conch <br> o <br> Count <br> y, TX | 350 | 139 | 505 | 83 | 652 | 111 | 436 | 189 | 277 | 195 |
| Crock <br> ett <br> Count <br> y, TX | 195 | 178 | 225 | 193 | 225 | 218 | 192 | 296 | 329 | 295 |
| Irion <br> Count <br> $y, T X$ | 74 | 62 | 85 | 84 | 81 | 58 | 134 | 115 | 126 | 118 |
| Kimbl <br> e <br> Count <br> y, TX | 122 | 119 | 161 | 174 | 191 | 229 | 259 | 256 | 383 | 442 |
| Mena rd Count y, TX | 43 | 63 | 208 | 107 | 81 | 120 | 150 | 158 | 131 | 103 |
| Reaga <br> n <br> Count $y, T X$ | 161 | 113 | 322 | 212 | 255 | 229 | 242 | 178 | 256 | 270 |
| Schlei cher Count y, TX | 167 | 122 | 136 | 170 | 234 | 221 | 152 | 165 | 215 | 182 |
| Sterlin <br> g <br> Count <br> y, TX | 56 | 45 | 69 | 55 | 30 | 104 | 124 | 77 | 95 | 80 |

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Sutto } & 164 & 150 & 233 & 265 & 216 & 218 & 291 & 224 & 219 & 221\end{array}$
n
Count
y, TX
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Tom } & 8,021 & 6,764 & 9,231 & 8,665 & 6,139 & 6,274 & 6,427 & 6,999 & 6,457\end{array}$
Green
Count
y, TX

| Texas | 1,414, | 1,324, | 1,984, | 1,931, | 1,817, | 1,825, | 1,721, | 1,750, | 1,431, | 1,526, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 319 | 512 | 745 | 029 | 289 | 174 | 481 | 108 | 889 | 846 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unite | 16,044 | 15,252 | 21,899 | 21,498 | 20,182 | 20,365 | 21,415 | 22,045 | 19,310 | 20,751 |
| d | , 240 | , 337 | , 150 | , 757 | , 692 | , 708 | , 016 | , 450 | , 203 | , 539 |

States


Hispanic Ages (Male and Female Combined)

| Report <br> Area | 0 to 4 | 5 to 17 | 18 to 24 | 25 to 34 | 35 to 44 | 45 to 54 | 55 to 64 | Over 65 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report | 4,992 | 12,803 | 7,418 | 9,401 | 7,260 | 6,614 | 5,360 | 4,809 |


| Coke | 48 | 155 | 35 | 97 | 72 | 129 | 56 | 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concho | 54 | 181 | 325 | 502 | 644 | 300 | 161 | 130 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 248 | 480 | 309 | 275 | 229 | 327 | 298 | 255 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 47 | 78 | 48 | 34 | 7 | 87 | 56 | 22 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 67 | 209 | 99 | 94 | 135 | 127 | 170 | 106 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 51 | 182 | 46 | 152 | 102 | 111 | 39 | 169 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 186 | 615 | 247 | 365 | 341 | 231 | 269 | 138 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleich er | 134 | 391 | 195 | 202 | 239 | 145 | 155 | 155 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 69 | 124 | 45 | 44 | 44 | 67 | 37 | 35 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 154 | 569 | 204 | 260 | 321 | 270 | 250 | 269 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom | 3,934 | 9,819 | 5,865 | 7,376 | 5,126 | 4,820 | 3,869 | 3,444 |
| Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 990,706 | 2,510,491 | 1,219,37 | 1,612,11 | 1,468,22 | 1,157,92 | 778,371 | 675,946 |
|  |  |  | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |  |


| United | $5,130,57$ | $12,816,19$ | $6,585,74$ | $8,818,19$ | $7,972,88$ | $6,284,81$ | $4,052,91$ | $3,537,78$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| States | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 2 |

Hispanic Ages (Male and Female Combined)


## Race Demographics

Population by gender within the report area is shown below. According to ACS 2012-2016 5 year population estimates, the white population comprised $92.24 \%$ of the report area, black population represented $3.88 \%$, and other races combined were $3.88 \%$. Persons identifying themselves as mixed race made up $2.3 \%$ of the population.

| Report Area | White <br> Total | Black <br> Total | American <br> Indian <br> Total | Asian <br> Total | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> Total | Mixed <br> Race <br> Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 124,521 | 5,235 | 486 | 1,589 | 57 | 3,102 |
| Coke County, TX | 2,989 | 26 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| Concho County, TX | 3,882 | 29 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 15 |
| Crockett County, TX | 1,782 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Irion County, TX | 1,430 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Kimble County, TX | 4,146 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 38 |
| Menard County, TX | 1,772 | 28 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 233 |


| Reagan County, TX | 1,809 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Schleicher County, TX | 2,169 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| Sterling County, TX | 1,142 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Sutton County, TX | 2,960 | 21 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 19 |
| Tom Green County, TX | 100,440 | 5,038 | 392 | 1,544 | 38 | 2,664 |
| Texas | $20,174,403$ | $3,221,133$ | 128,145 | $1,175,423$ | 22,248 | 673,400 |
| United States | $233,657,078$ | $40,241,818$ | $2,597,817$ | $16,614,625$ | 560,021 | $9,752,947$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County


Population, Minority (Non-White), Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16


## Race Demographics - Male

| Report Area | White <br> Male | Black <br> Male | American <br> Indian <br> Male | Asian <br> Total | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> Male | Mixed <br> Race <br> Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 62,732 | 2,706 | 203 | 719 | 39 | 1,619 |
| Coke County, TX | 1,522 | 20 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Concho County, TX | 2,606 | 29 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 6 |
| Crockett County, TX | 873 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Irion County, TX | 732 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Kimble County, TX | 2,036 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Menard County, TX | 908 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 128 |
| Reagan County, TX | 964 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Schleicher County, TX | 1,090 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sterling County, TX | 617 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Sutton County, TX | 1,577 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 19 |
| Tom Green County, TX | 49,807 | 2,575 | 132 | 685 | 26 | 1,436 |
| Texas | 10,032,028 | 1,558,763 | 65,771 | 570,827 | 10,910 | 334,616 |
| United States | 115,461,098 | 19,220,550 | 1,288,198 | 7,882,217 | 279,671 | 4,862,948 |

Ethnicity Demographics - Male

| Report Area | Total Males <br> Hispanic / Latino | Total Males Not <br> Hispanic / Latino | Percent Males <br> Hispanic / Latino | Percent Males Not <br> Hispanic / Latino |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 30,108 | 44,283 | $40.47 \%$ | $59.53 \%$ |
| Coke County, | 385 | 1,297 | $22.89 \%$ | $77.11 \%$ |
| TX |  |  |  |  |


| Concho | 1,854 | 997 | 65.03\% | 34.97\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 1,246 | 648 | 65.79\% | 34.21\% |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Irion County, TX | 235 | 603 | 28.04\% | 71.96\% |
| Kimble County, TX | 479 | 1,683 | 22.16\% | 77.84\% |
| Menard County, TX | 490 | 660 | 42.61\% | 57.39\% |
| Reagan County, TX | 1,286 | 647 | 66.53\% | 33.47\% |
| Schleicher County, TX | 818 | 797 | 50.65\% | 49.35\% |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 262 | 389 | 40.25\% | 59.75\% |
| Sutton County, TX | 1,156 | 938 | 55.21\% | 44.79\% |
| Tom Green County, TX | 21,897 | 35,624 | 38.07\% | 61.93\% |
| Texas | 5,236,312 | 8,142,853 | 39.14\% | 60.86\% |
| United States | 27,904,147 | 128,861,175 | 17.8\% | 82.2\% |



Race Demographics - Female

| Report Area | White <br> Female | Black <br> Female | American <br> Indian <br> Female | Asian <br> Female | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> Female | Mixed <br> Race <br> Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 61,789 | 2,529 | 283 | 870 | 18 | 1,483 |
| Coke County, TX | 1,467 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 7 |
| Concho County, TX | 1,276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Crockett County, TX | 909 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Irion County, TX | 698 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Kimble County, TX | 2,110 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Menard County, TX | 864 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| Reagan County, TX | 845 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Schleicher County, TX | 1,079 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
| Sterling County, TX | 525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Sutton County, TX | 1,383 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 |


| Tom Green County, TX | 50,633 | 2,463 | 260 | 859 | 12 | 1,228 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Texas | $10,142,375$ | $1,662,370$ | 62,374 | 604,596 | 11,338 | 338,784 |
| United States | $118,195,980$ | $21,021,268$ | $1,309,619$ | $8,732,408$ | 280,350 | $4,889,999$ |

## Ethnicity Demographics - Female

| Report Area | Total Females <br> Hispanic / Latino | Total Females Not <br> Hispanic / Latino | Percent Females <br> Hispanic / Latino | Percent Females <br> Not Hispanic <br> Latino |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 28,549 | 44,742 | $38.95 \%$ | $61.05 \%$ |

```
United States 27,294,960 134,497,880 16.87% 83.13%
```



## Veterans, Age and Gender Demographics

Veterans, Age and Gender Demographics show the number of veterans living in the report area.
According to the American Community Survey (ACS), $11.01 \%$ of the adult population in the report area are veterans, which is more than the national average of $8.01 \%$.

| Report Area | Veterans <br> Total | Veterans <br> Male | Veterans <br> Female | \% Pop over <br> 18 | \% Pop over <br> Total | \% Pop over <br> Males |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 12,109 | 10,823 | 1,286 | $11.01 \%$ | $19.95 \%$ | Females |


| Menard County, TX | 175 | 175 | 0 | 10.61\% | 20.52\% | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reagan County, TX | 90 | 85 | 5 | 3.44\% | 5.98\% | 0.42\% |
| Schleicher County, TX | 141 | 132 | 9 | 6.27\% | 11.69\% | 0.8\% |
| Sterling County, TX | 44 | 44 | 0 | 4.92\% | 10.16\% | 0\% |
| Sutton County, TX | 186 | 186 | 0 | 6.53\% | 12.35\% | 0\% |
| Tom Green | 10,229 | 9,038 | 1,191 | 11.93\% | 21.87\% | 2.68\% |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 1,513,294 | 1,364,615 | 148,679 | 7.67\% | 14.13\% | 1.48\% |
| United States | 19,535,341 | 17,948,822 | 1,586,519 | 8.01\% | 15.17\% | 1.26\% |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County


Veterans, Percent of Total Population
by Tract, ACS 2012-16


## Veterans by Age

| Report | Veteran | Veteran | Veteran | Veteran | Veteran | Veteran | Veteran | Veteran |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area | Age | Age | Age | Age | Age | Age | Age | Age |
|  | Males | Female | Males | Female | Males | Female | Males | Female |
|  | $18-34$ |  | $35-54$ |  | $55-64$ |  | Over 65 |  |


|  |  | S |  | S |  | s |  | s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-34 |  | 35-54 |  | 55-6 |  | Over 65 |
| Report | 1,691 | 449 | 1,877 | 417 | 1,922 | 238 | 5,333 | 182 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 149 | 17 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concho | 14 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 110 | 0 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 30 | 77 | 0 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 7 | 0 | 11 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 66 | 0 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 100 | 16 | 372 | 10 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 129 | 0 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 15 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 48 | 0 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleiche | 23 | 0 | 36 | 9 | 16 | 0 | 57 | 0 |
| r County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 22 | 0 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 10 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 143 | 0 |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom | 1,607 | 449 | 1,651 | 395 | 1,620 | 192 | 4,160 | 155 |
| Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

County,
TX

| Texas | 147,192 | 35,288 | 354,117 | 66,225 | 264,981 | 28,163 | 598,325 | 19,003 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| United | $1,366,07$ | 313,688 | $4,027,25$ | 673,532 | $3,464,29$ | 320,832 | $9,091,20$ | 278,467 |
| States | 4 |  | 4 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |



## Poverty

2016 poverty estimates show a total of 19,995 persons living below the poverty level in the report area. Poverty information is at $100 \%$ of the federal poverty income guidelines

| Report |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Ages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Poverty Rate |
|  | All Ages | All | Age 0-17 | Age 0- | Age 5-17 | Age 5- |  |
| Area | No of | Ages | No of | 17 | No of | 17 |  |
|  | Persons | Povert | Persons | Povert | Persons | Povert |  |
|  |  | y Rate |  | y Rate |  | y Rate |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 50\% |
| Report | 19,995 | 13.54 | 7,245 | 20.99 | 5,029 | 20.33 |  |
| Area |  | \% |  | \% |  | \% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Report Area |
| Coke | 501 | 15.6\% | 148 | 22\% | 104 | 19.9\% | (13.54\%) |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texas (15.81\%) |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  | United States |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (13.90\%) |


| Concho <br> County, <br> TX | 682 | $25.8 \%$ | 151 | $26.9 \%$ | 105 | $24 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crockett | 612 | $16.9 \%$ | 266 | $28.5 \%$ | 173 | $26.1 \%$ |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income Poverty Estimates.
2016. Source geography: County



Population Below the Poverty Level, Percent by County, SAIPE 2016Over 22.0\%
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## Poverty Rate Change

Poverty rate change in the report area from 2000 to 2016 is shown below. According to the U.S. Census, the poverty rate for the area decreased by $-2.45 \%$, compared to a national increase of $2.7 \%$.

| Report |  |  |  |  |  | Change in Poverty Rate 2000-2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Persons in | Poverty | Persons in | Poverty | Change |  |
|  | Poverty | Rate | Poverty | Rate | in |  |
|  | 2000 | 2000 | 2016 | 2016 | Poverty |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Rate | I |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2000- | 050 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2016 |  |
| Report | 21,360 | 16.5\% | 19,995 | 14.05\% | -2.45\% | Report Area (-2.45) |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  | Texas (1.03) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | United States (2.7) |
| Coke | 568 | 15.8\% | 501 | 15.6\% | -0.2\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concho | 632 | 25.4\% | 682 | 25.8\% | 0.4\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 683 | 18\% | 612 | 16.9\% | -1.1\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 177 | 10.3\% | 125 | 8\% | -2.3\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 831 | 18.8\% | 848 | 19.4\% | 0.6\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 562 | 24.2\% | 446 | 21.4\% | -2.8\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 446 | 14.1\% | 423 | 11.8\% | -2.3\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleicher | 557 | 19.2\% | 503 | 16.6\% | -2.6\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 182 | 13.8\% | 169 | 12.7\% | -1.1\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 671 | 16.7\% | 542 | 14.1\% | -2.6\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom Green | 16,051 | 16.1\% | 15,144 | 13.4\% | -2.7\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 6,082,224 | 14.6\% | 8,522,584 | 15.63\% | 1.03\% |  |

States

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income Poverty Estimates.
2016. Source geography: county



Population Below the Poverty Level, Percent by County, SAIPE 2016
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## Households in Poverty

The number and percentage of households in poverty are shown in the report area. In 2016, it is estimated that there were 7,754 households, or $14.1 \%$, living in poverty within the report area.



## Poverty Rate (ACS)

The following report section shows population estimates for all persons in poverty for report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year estimates, an average of 14.18 percent of all persons lived in a state of poverty during the 2012-2016 period. The poverty rate for all persons living
in the report area is less than the national average of 15.11 percent.


Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: Tract


Population Below the Poverty Level, Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16
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Population in Poverty by Gender

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 8,541 | 11,297 | $12.35 \%$ | $15.96 \%$ |
| Coke County, TX | 260 | 274 | $16.38 \%$ | $18.15 \%$ |
| Concho County, TX | 97 | 196 | $6.43 \%$ | $15.72 \%$ |
| Crockett County, TX | 332 | 519 | $17.93 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| Irion County, TX | 92 | 99 | $10.98 \%$ | $12.48 \%$ |
| Kimble County, TX | 386 | 445 | $18.16 \%$ | $19.69 \%$ |
| Menard County, TX | 111 | 171 | $9.94 \%$ | $17.36 \%$ |
| Reagan County, TX | 356 | 274 | $18.52 \%$ | $16.43 \%$ |
| Schleicher County, TX | 171 | 246 | $10.59 \%$ | $15.81 \%$ |
| Sterling County, TX | 93 | 91 | $14.86 \%$ | $16.28 \%$ |
| Sutton County, TX | 180 | 178 | $8.72 \%$ | $9.74 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | 6,463 | 8,804 | $11.99 \%$ | $15.59 \%$ |
| Texas | $1,966,846$ | $2,430,461$ | $15.17 \%$ | $18.18 \%$ |


| United States | $21,012,839$ | $25,919,386$ | $13.82 \%$ | $16.34 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## Population in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic / Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 10,777 | 9,061 | 19.29\% | 10.78\% |
| Coke County, TX | 169 | 365 | 26.95\% | 14.78\% |
| Concho County, TX | 147 | 146 | 14.7\% | 8.31\% |
| Crockett County, TX | 639 | 212 | 26.74\% | 15.55\% |
| Irion County, TX | 59 | 132 | 15.57\% | 10.54\% |
| Kimble County, TX | 317 | 514 | 32.25\% | 15.11\% |
| Menard County, TX | 130 | 152 | 15.51\% | 12.03\% |
| Reagan County, TX | 594 | 36 | 25.02\% | 2.96\% |



## Population in Poverty Race Alone, Percent

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Report Area } & \text { White } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Black or } \\
\text { African } \\
\text { American }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Native } \\
\text { American / } \\
\text { Alaska Native }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Asian }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Native } \\
\text { Hawaiian / } \\
\text { Pacific } \\
\text { Islander }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Some } \\
\text { Other } \\
\text { Race }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}Multiple <br>

Race\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | $13.34 \%$ | $22.43 \%$ | $32.04 \%$ | $9.23 \%$ | $11.11 \%$ |


| Concho <br> County, TX | 10.77\% | 0\% | no data | no <br> data | no data | 0\% | 25\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crockett <br> County, TX | 16.57\% | no data | no data | no <br> data | no data | 28.43\% | 0\% |
| Irion <br> County, TX | 12.94\% | no data | no data | no data | no data | 3.87\% | 0\% |
| Kimble <br> County, TX | 18.95\% | no data | 0\% | no <br> data | 100\% | 21.03\% | 0\% |
| Menard <br> County, TX | 13.36\% | 68.18\% | 43.33\% | no data | no data | 0\% | 10.3\% |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | 6.93\% | 13.43\% | no data | no <br> data | no data | 28.85\% | 0\% |
| Schleicher County, TX | 11.2\% | 0\% | no data | 0\% | no data | 17.66\% | 0\% |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 16.77\% | no data | no data | no data | no data | 0\% | 0\% |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | 8.6\% | 5\% | 0\% | no data | 0\% | 11.6\% | 0\% |
| Tom Green County, TX | 13.3\% | 22.56\% | 34.29\% | 9.32\% | 0\% | 15.4\% | 15.03\% |
| Texas | 15.46\% | 22.63\% | 21.17\% | 11.13\% | 13.96\% | 24.39\% | 17.16\% |
| United States | 12.44\% | 26.22\% | 27.59\% | 12.33\% | 20.07\% | 25.37\% | 19.27\% |



Population in Poverty by Race Alone, Total

| Report | White | Black or <br> African <br> American | Native <br> American <br> / Alaska <br> Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawaiian <br> / Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other <br> Race | Multiple <br> Race |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report <br> Area | 15,796 | 990 | 140 | 117 | 5 | 2,372 | 418 |
| Coke <br> County, TX | 470 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 7 |
| Concho <br> County, TX | 291 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Crockett <br> County, TX | 285 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 566 | 0 |
| Irion <br> County, TX 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |
| Kimble <br> County, TX |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 53 | 0 |



## Families in Poverty by Family Type

The number of families in poverty by type are shown in the report area. According to ACS 2012-2016 5 year estimates for the report area, there were 3,535 families living in poverty.

| Report Area | Total <br> Families | Families in Poverty Total | Families in Poverty Married Couples | Families in <br> Poverty <br> Male <br> Householder | Families in <br> Poverty <br> Female <br> Householder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 35,487 | 3,535 | 1,301 | 231 | 2,003 |
| Coke County, TX | 956 | 95 | 36 | 12 | 47 |
| Concho County, TX | 580 | 66 | 51 | 0 | 15 |
| Crockett <br> County, TX | 1,018 | 159 | 76 | 0 | 83 |
| Irion County, TX | 486 | 45 | 13 | 7 | 25 |
| Kimble County, TX | 1,375 | 195 | 54 | 42 | 99 |
| Menard County, TX | 614 | 59 | 0 | 8 | 51 |
| Reagan County, TX | 869 | 111 | 84 | 0 | 27 |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | 846 | 79 | 55 | 0 | 24 |
| Sterling County, <br> TX | 355 | 40 | 30 | 0 | 10 |
| Sutton County, TX | 1,078 | 76 | 54 | 7 | 15 |
| Tom Green County, TX | 27,310 | 2,610 | 848 | 155 | 1,607 |
| Texas | 6,450,049 | 835,775 | 340,658 | 74,880 | 420,237 |
| United States | 77,608,829 | 8,543,087 | 3,104,359 | 914,985 | 4,523,743 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County
Families in Poverty by Family Type
Report Area


Married Family Households Living Below the Poverty Level, Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16


## Family Poverty Rate by Family Type

The percentage of households in poverty by household type are shown for the report area. It is estimated that $10 \%$ of all households were living in poverty within the report area, compared to the national average of $11.3 \%$. Of the households in poverty, female headed households represented 5.6\% of all households in poverty, compared to $3.7 \%$ and $0.7 \%$ of households headed by males and married couples, respectively.

|  |  |  |  | Percent of Poverty <br> Female Householder |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | Poverty <br> Rate <br> All Types | Percent of <br> Poverty <br> Married <br> Couples | Percent of <br> Poverty <br> Male <br> Householder | Percent of <br> Poverty <br> Female <br> Householder |  |
| Report Area | $10 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |  |
| Coke County, <br> TX | $9.9 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $49.5 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Concho <br> County, TX | $11.4 \%$ | $77.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |  |
| Crockett <br> County, TX | $15.6 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | Report Area (5.6\%) |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: County



## Poverty Rate Change (Age 0-17)

The poverty rate change for all children in the report area from 2000 to 2016 is shown below. According to the U.S. Census, the poverty rate for the area decreased by $-2.3 \%$, compared to a national increase of 3.3\%.

Poverty Rate Change Age 0-
17

Sutton 277 24.3\% 221 23.2\% $-1.1 \%$

County,
TX

| Tom | 6,102 | $22.8 \%$ | 5,451 | $19.7 \%$ | $-3.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Green <br> County, |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | $2,475,255$ | $20.7 \%$ | $3,232,172$ | $22.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| United | $34,757,074$ | $16.2 \%$ | $42,341,696$ | $19.5 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| States |  |  |  |  |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income Poverty Estimates.
2016. Source geography: County



Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-18), Percent by County, SAIPE 2016


## Poverty Rate Change (Age 0-4)

The poverty rate change for all children (age $0-4$ ) in the report area from 2000 to 2016 is shown below. The U.S. Census Bureau's Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates only calculates poverty for this age on the state and national levels. The national poverty rate change for this age group increased by $2.6 \%$ over the described time period.

Poverty Rate Change Age 0-
4

| Report | Poverty | Poverty | Poverty | Poverty | Difference |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Age 0-4 | Rate | Age 0-4 | Rate | in Rate |  |
|  | 2000 | Age 0-4 | 2016 | Age 0-4 | Age 0-4 |  |
|  |  | 2000 |  | 2016 | 2000- |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2016 | -30 50\% |
| Report Area | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Texas (0.3\%) <br> United States (2.6\%) |
| Coke | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concho | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Irion no data no data no data <br> County, TX    | no data | no data |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kimble <br> County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Menard <br> County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Sterling | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom Green <br> County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Texas | 401,761 | $24 \%$ | 481,319 | $24.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| United | $7,166,195$ | $18.7 \%$ | $8,313,896$ | $21.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| States |  |  |  |  |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income Poverty Estimates. 2016. Source geography: State



Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-4), Percent by State, SAIPE 2016


## Poverty Rate Change (Age 5-17)

The poverty rate change for all children in the report area from 2000 to 2016 is shown below. According to the U.S. Census, the poverty rate for the area decreased by $-1 \%$, compared to a national increase of 3.7\%.

Poverty Rate Change Age5-
17


| Sutton <br> County, <br> TX | 190 | $22.6 \%$ | 154 | $21.4 \%$ | $-1.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tom | 3,954 | $20.8 \%$ | 3,785 | $19.4 \%$ | $-1.4 \%$ |
| Green <br> County, |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | $1,588,768$ | $18.8 \%$ | $2,222,978$ | $21.4 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $22,606,876$ | $14.6 \%$ | $28,941,885$ | $18.3 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income Poverty Estimates.
2016. Source geography: County



Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 5-17), Percent by County, SAIPE 2016


## Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 0-17

Population and poverty estimates for children age 0-17 are shown for the report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year data, an average of $19.7 \%$ percent of children lived in a state of poverty during the survey calendar year. The poverty rate for children living in the report area is less than the national average of 21.2 percent.

|  |  |  | Ages 0-17 Poverty Rate |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | Ages 0-17 <br> Total Population | Ages 0-17 <br> In Poverty | Ages 0-17 <br> Poverty Rate |  |  |
| Report Area | 34,154 | 6,728 | $19.7 \%$ | 0 |  |
| Coke County, TX | 560 | 139 | $24.8 \%$ | Report Area (19.7\%) |  |
| Concho County, TX | 546 | 116 | $21.2 \%$ | Texas (23.9\%) |  |
| Crockett County, TX | 955 | 383 | $40.1 \%$ | United States (21.2\%) |  |
| Irion County, TX | 416 | 76 | $18.3 \%$ |  |  |
| Kimble County, TX | 749 | 220 | $29.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Menard County, TX | 506 | 74 | $14.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Reagan County, TX | 1,007 | 291 | $28.9 \%$ |  |  |
| Schleicher County, TX | 921 | 143 | $15.5 \%$ |  |  |


| Sterling County, TX | 331 | 116 | $35 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sutton County, TX | 1,067 | 129 | $12.1 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | 27,096 | 5,041 | $18.6 \%$ |
| Texas | $7,048,643$ | $1,685,859$ | $23.9 \%$ |
| United States | $72,456,096$ | $15,335,783$ | $21.2 \%$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: County




Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-17), Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16

Over 30.0\%
22.6-30.0\%
15.1-22.5\%

Under 15.1\%
No Population Age 0-17 Reported
No Data or Data Suppressed
$\square$
Report Area

Children in Poverty by Gender: Age 0-17

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male Percent Female |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 3,368 | 3,360 | $19.23 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| Coke County, TX | 101 | 38 | $29.71 \%$ | $17.27 \%$ |
| Concho County, TX | 23 | 93 | $8.07 \%$ | $35.63 \%$ |
| Crockett County, TX | 179 | 204 | $34.42 \%$ | $46.9 \%$ |
| Irion County, TX | 32 | 44 | $15.46 \%$ | $21.05 \%$ |
| Kimble County, TX | 144 | 76 | $34.53 \%$ | $22.89 \%$ |
| Menard County, TX | 42 | 32 | $14.48 \%$ | $14.81 \%$ |
| Reagan County, TX | 157 | 134 | $30.66 \%$ | $27.07 \%$ |
| Schleicher County, TX | 53 | 90 | $10.91 \%$ | $20.69 \%$ |
| Sterling County, TX | 63 | 53 | $30 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| Sutton County, TX | 85 | 44 | $14.6 \%$ | $9.07 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | 2,489 | 2,552 | $18.21 \%$ | $19.01 \%$ |
| Texas | 855,401 | 830,458 | $23.8 \%$ | $24.04 \%$ |
| United States | $7,788,380$ | $7,547,403$ | $21.05 \%$ | $21.29 \%$ |



Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 0-17

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 4,576 | 2,152 | 25.96\% | 13.02\% |
| Coke County, TX | 74 | 65 | 46.25\% | 16.25\% |
| Concho County, TX | 52 | 64 | 22.13\% | 20.58\% |
| Crockett County, TX | 368 | 15 | 50.55\% | 6.61\% |
| Irion County, TX | 29 | 47 | 23.2\% | 16.15\% |
| Kimble County, TX | 95 | 125 | 35.19\% | 26.1\% |
| Menard County, TX | 39 | 35 | 16.74\% | 12.82\% |
| Reagan County, TX | 274 | 17 | 34.21\% | 8.25\% |

Schleicher
County, TX
Sterling County,
TX
Sutton County,
TX
TX

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 0-17

| Report <br> Area | Non- | Black or | Native | Asian | Native | Some | Multiple <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic White | African <br> American | American / <br> Alaska |  | Hawaiian / <br> Pacific | Other <br> Race |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Native |  | Islander |  |  |
| Report | 12.96\% | 22.33\% | 47.69\% | 31.07\% | 0\% | 26.34\% | 14.68\% |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Coke | $16.54 \%$ | no data | $0 \%$ | no | no data | $66.67 \%$ | no data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County, TX |  |  |  | data |  |  |  |
| Concho | $20.58 \%$ | no data | no data | no | no data | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| County, TX |  |  |  | no data |  |  |  |
| Crockett | $7.65 \%$ | no data | no | no data | $51.96 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  | no data | no | no data | $0 \%$ |

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 0-17


Non-Hispanic White
Multiple Race

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 0-17

| Report Area | NonHispanic | Black or African | Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawaiian / | Some Other | Multiple Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | White | American | Alaska |  | Pacific | Race |  |
|  |  |  | Native |  | Islander |  |  |
| Report | 1,891 | 238 | 31 | 96 | 0 | 1,002 | 202 |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concho | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 344 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Menard | 29 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleicher | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom Green | 1,449 | 227 | 31 | 96 | 0 | 290 | 194 |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 233,106 | 269,094 | 9,175 | 30,084 | 957 | 156,557 | 58,865 |
| United | 4,769,712 | 3,819,940 | 246,820 | 425,824 | 38,963 | 1,574,212 | 962,043 |
| States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 0-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $28.96 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.00 \% \sim 54.65 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2.77 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0.90 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6.88$ | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Hispanic White Asian Some Other Race <br> Black or African American Native Hawaiian/ Pacific  <br> Native American /Alaska Islander Multiple Race <br> Native   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 0-4

Population and poverty estimates for children age 0-4 are shown for the report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year data, an average of $21 \%$ percent of children lived in a state of poverty during the survey calendar year. The poverty rate for children living in the report area is less
than the national average of 23.6 percent.

|  |  |  | Ages 0-4 Poverty Rate |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | Ages 0-4 | Ages 0-4 | Ages 0-4 |  |
|  | Total Population | In Poverty | Poverty Rate |  |
| Report Area | 9,694 | 2,031 | $21 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Coke County, TX | 56 | 14 | $25 \%$ | Report Area (21\%) |
| Concho County, TX | 164 | 49 | $29.9 \%$ | United States (23.6\%) |
| Crockett County, TX | 333 | 140 | $42 \%$ |  |
| Irion County, TX | 120 | 41 | $34.2 \%$ |  |
| Kimble County, TX | 222 | 37 | $16.7 \%$ |  |
| Menard County, TX | 81 | 34 | $42 \%$ |  |
| Reagan County, TX | 238 | 69 | $29 \%$ |  |
| Schleicher County, TX | 214 | 32 | $15 \%$ |  |
| Sterling County, TX | 124 | 45 | $36.3 \%$ |  |
| Sutton County, TX | 258 | 47 | $18.2 \%$ |  |
| Tom Green County, TX | 7,884 | 1,523 | $19.3 \%$ |  |
| Texas | $1,946,154$ | 508,487 | $26.1 \%$ |  |
| United States | $19,554,400$ | $4,614,933$ | $23.6 \%$ |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: County



## Children in Poverty by Gender: Age 0-4

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 931 | 1,100 | $18.77 \%$ | $23.24 \%$ |



| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 1,409 | 622 | 28.66\% | 13.02\% |
| Coke County, TX | 14 | 0 | 33.33\% | 0\% |
| Concho County, TX | 21 | 28 | 38.89\% | 25.45\% |
| Crockett County, TX | 125 | 15 | 50.4\% | 17.65\% |
| Irion County, TX | 29 | 12 | 61.7\% | 16.44\% |
| Kimble County, TX | 25 | 12 | 40.98\% | 7.45\% |
| Menard County, TX | 31 | 3 | 60.78\% | 10\% |
| Reagan County, TX | 69 | 0 | 37.1\% | 0\% |
| Schleicher County, TX | 32 | 0 | 23.88\% | 0\% |
| Sterling County, TX | 5 | 40 | 7.58\% | 68.97\% |
| Sutton County, TX | 47 | 0 | 31.54\% | 0\% |
| Tom Green County, TX | 1,011 | 512 | 26.07\% | 12.78\% |
| Texas | 343,198 | 165,289 | 35.06\% | 17.09\% |
| United States | 1,683,545 | 2,931,388 | 33.36\% | 20.21\% |

Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 0-4


Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 0-4

| Report | Non- | Black or | Native | Asian | Native | Some | Multiple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | Hispanic | African | American / |  | Hawaiian / | Other | Race |
|  | White | American | Alaska |  | Pacific | Race |  |
|  |  |  | Native |  | Islander |  |  |
| Report | 13.7\% | 15.09\% | 14.81\% | 0\% | no data | 31.51\% | 16.45\% |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke | 0\% | no data | no data | no | no data | no data | no data |
| County, TX |  |  |  | data |  |  |  |
| Concho | 25.45\% | no data | no data | no | no data | 0\% | no data |
| County, TX |  |  |  | data |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 17.65\% | no data | no data | no | no data | 57.08\% | no data |
| County, TX |  |  |  | data |  |  |  |
| Irion | 24.49\% | no data | no data | no | no data | 0\% | 0\% |
| County, TX |  |  |  | data |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 8.33\% | no data | 0\% | no | no data | 0\% | 0\% |
| County, TX |  |  |  | data |  |  |  |


| Menard County, TX | 0\% | 100\% | no data | no <br> data | no data | no data | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | 0\% | no data | no data | no <br> data | no data | 41.22\% | no data |
| Schleicher County, TX | 0\% | no data | no data | no <br> data | no data | 32.2\% | no data |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 68.97\% | no data | no data | no <br> data | no data | no data | no data |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | 0\% | no data | no data | no data | no data | 17.65\% | no data |
| Tom Green County, TX | 13.49\% | 14.33\% | 16.67\% | 0\% | no data | 21.67\% | 19.32\% |
| Texas | 11.05\% | 35.99\% | 32.52\% | 11.06\% | 22.73\% | 35.75\% | 21.72\% |
| United States | 14.39\% | 42.19\% | 40.15\% | 12.1\% | 28.49\% | 36.8\% | 23.78\% |

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 0-4


Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 0-4

| Report <br> Area | Non- <br> Hispanic <br> White | Black or <br> African <br> American | Native <br> American / <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawaiian / <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other <br> Race | Multiple <br> Race |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report <br> Area | 579 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 51 |
| Coke <br> County, TX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Concho <br> County, TX | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crockett | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |



## Child Poverty Rate (ACS) Ages 5-17

Population and poverty estimates for children age 5-17 are shown for the report area. According to the American Community Survey 5 year data, an average of $19.2 \%$ percent of children lived in a state of poverty during the survey calendar year. The poverty rate for children living in the report area is less than the national average of 20.3 percent.

|  |  |  | Ages 5-17 Poverty Rate |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | Ages 5-17 <br> Total Population | Ages 5-17 <br> In Poverty | Ages 5-17 <br> Poverty Rate |  |  |
| Report Area | 24,460 | 4,697 | $19.2 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Coke County, TX | 504 | 125 | $24.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Concho County, TX | 382 | 67 | $17.5 \%$ | Report Area (19.2\%) |  |
| Crockett County, TX | 622 | 243 | $39.1 \%$ | Texas (23.1\%) |  |
| Irion County, TX | 296 | 35 | $11.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Kimble County, TX | 527 | 183 | $34.7 \%$ |  |  |
| Menard County, TX | 425 | 40 | $9.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Reagan County, TX | 769 | 222 | $28.9 \%$ |  |  |


| Schleicher County, TX | 707 | 111 | $15.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sterling County, TX | 207 | 71 | $34.3 \%$ |
| Sutton County, TX | 809 | 82 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | 19,212 | 3,518 | $18.3 \%$ |
| Texas | $5,102,489$ | $1,177,372$ | $23.1 \%$ |
| United States | $52,901,696$ | $10,720,850$ | $20.3 \%$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: County

Ages 5-17 Poverty Rate




Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 5-17), Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16

Over 29.0\%
21.1-29.0\%
13.1-21.0\%

Under 13.1\%
No Population Age 5-17 Reported No Data or Data Suppressed

Report Area

Children in Poverty by Gender: Age 5-17

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 2,437 | 2,260 | $19.41 \%$ | $18.99 \%$ |
| Coke County, TX | 87 | 38 | $30.63 \%$ | $17.27 \%$ |
| Concho County, TX | 23 | 44 | $11.98 \%$ | $23.16 \%$ |
| Crockett County, TX | 146 | 97 | $46.5 \%$ | $31.49 \%$ |
| Irion County, TX | 8 | 27 | $5.1 \%$ | $19.42 \%$ |
| Kimble County, TX | 113 | 70 | $45.38 \%$ | $25.18 \%$ |
| Menard County, TX | 17 | 23 | $7.11 \%$ | $12.37 \%$ |
| Reagan County, TX | 112 | 110 | $30.43 \%$ | $27.43 \%$ |
| Schleicher County, TX | 34 | 77 | $8.97 \%$ | $23.48 \%$ |
| Sterling County, TX | 38 | 33 | $29.01 \%$ | $43.42 \%$ |
| Sutton County, TX | 64 | 18 | $14.07 \%$ | $5.08 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | 1,795 | 1,723 | $18.34 \%$ | $18.29 \%$ |
| Texas | 597,952 | 579,420 | $23 \%$ | $23.16 \%$ |
| United States | $5,439,353$ | $5,281,497$ | $20.14 \%$ | $20.39 \%$ |



Children in Poverty by Ethnicity Alone: Age 5-17

| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 3,167 | 1,530 | 24.91\% | 13.02\% |
| Coke County, TX | 60 | 65 | 50.85\% | 16.84\% |
| Concho County, TX | 31 | 36 | 17.13\% | 17.91\% |
| Crockett County, TX | 243 | 0 | 50.63\% | 0\% |
| Irion County, TX | 0 | 35 | 0\% | 16.06\% |
| Kimble County, TX | 70 | 113 | 33.49\% | 35.53\% |
| Menard County, TX | 8 | 32 | 4.4\% | 13.17\% |
| Reagan County, TX | 205 | 17 | 33.33\% | 11.04\% |



Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 5-17

| Report <br> Area | Non- | Black or | Native | Asian | Native | Some | Multiple <br> Race |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic White | African <br> American | American / Alaska |  | Hawaiian / <br> Pacific | Other <br> Race |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Native |  | Islander |  |  |
| Report | 12.66\% | 25.69\% | 71.05\% | 36.5\% | 0\% | 24.49\% | 14.17\% |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 5-17


Non-Hispanic White
Native America
Native
ative Hawaiian / Pacific
Multiple Race

Report Area
Texas
United States

Children in Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 5-17

| Report | Non- <br> Hispanic <br> White | Black or <br> African <br> American | Native <br> American / <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawaiian / <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other <br> Race | Multiple <br> Race |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report <br> Area | 1,312 | 187 | 27 | 96 | 0 | 686 | 151 |
| Coke <br> County, TX | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 |
| Concho <br> County, TX | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crockett <br> County, TX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 0 |
| Irion <br> County, TX | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kimble <br> County, TX | 113 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |


| Menard <br> County, TX | 29 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | 17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| Tom Green County, TX | 977 | 179 | 27 | 96 | 0 | 181 | 143 |
| Texas <br> United <br> States | $\begin{aligned} & 165,370 \\ & 3,367,951 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 187,669 \\ & 2,664,938 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,716 \\ & 174,084 \end{aligned}$ | 21,828 <br> 315,915 | 553 27,654 | $\begin{aligned} & 110,702 \\ & 1,109,938 \end{aligned}$ | 37,262 619,886 |
| $27.90 \%$ <br> $0.00 \%$ <br> $3.90 \%$ <br> 1.10 <br> 7.6 | $\%$ $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> $\%$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Hispa } \\ & \text { Black or Af } \\ & \text { Native Am } \\ & \text { Native } \end{aligned}$ | ren in Pov <br> ic White <br> ican American <br> can / Alaska | by Rac <br> Report | ne, Total <br> iian / Pacific | Age 5 |  |  |

## Seniors in Poverty

Poverty rates for seniors (persons age 65 and over) are shown below. According to American Community Survey estimates, there were 2,212 seniors, or $10.1 \%$ percent, living in poverty within the report area.

| Report Area | Ages 65 and | Ages 65 and | Ages 65 and | Ages 65 and Up Poverty Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Up | Up | Up |  |
|  | Total | In Poverty | Poverty Rate |  |
|  | Population |  |  |  |
| Report Area | 21,930 | 2,212 | 10.1\% | 0 50\% |
| Coke County, TX | 819 | 64 | 7.8\% | Report Area (10.1\%) |
| Concho County, TX | 610 | 49 | 8\% | Texas (10.8\%) |
| Crockett County, TX | 488 | 101 | 20.7\% |  |
| Irion County, TX | 278 | 6 | 2.2\% |  |
| Kimble County, TX | 1,328 | 136 | 10.2\% |  |
| Menard County, TX | 454 | 48 | 10.6\% |  |
| Reagan County, TX | 345 | 15 | 4.3\% |  |
| Schleicher County, | 486 | 93 | 19.1\% |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling County, TX | 133 | 5 | 3.8\% |  |
| Sutton County, TX | 647 | 92 | 14.2\% |  |
| Tom Green County, | 16,342 | 1,603 | 9.8\% |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 3,008,037 | 326,261 | 10.8\% |  |
| United States | 44,874,586 | 4,195,427 | 9.3\% |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: county


## Poverty by Gender: Age 65 and Up

| Report Area | Total Male | Total Female | Percent Male | Percent Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 690 | 1,522 | $6.89 \%$ | $12.78 \%$ |



| Report Area | Total Hispanic / Latino | Total Not Hispanic / Latino | Percent Hispanic / Latino | Percent Not Hispanic or Latino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 915 | 1,297 | 20.03\% | 7.47\% |
| Coke County, TX | 0 | 64 | 0\% | 8.64\% |
| Concho County, TX | 13 | 36 | 13.54\% | 7\% |
| Crockett County, TX | 7 | 94 | 2.94\% | 37.6\% |
| Irion County, TX | 0 | 6 | 0\% | 2.34\% |
| Kimble County, TX | 62 | 74 | 60.78\% | 6.04\% |
| Menard County, TX | 31 | 17 | 19.25\% | 5.8\% |
| Reagan County, TX | 10 | 5 | 8.33\% | 2.22\% |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | 38 | 55 | 24.52\% | 16.62\% |
| Sterling County, TX | 0 | 5 | 0\% | 4.67\% |
| Sutton County, <br> TX | 81 | 11 | 30.11\% | 2.91\% |
| Tom Green County, TX | 673 | 930 | 20.39\% | 7.13\% |
| Texas | 139,374 | 186,887 | 21.1\% | 7.96\% |
| United States | 657,884 | 3,537,543 | 18.97\% | 8.54\% |



Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 65 and Up

| Report | Non- <br> Area | Hispanic <br> White | Black or <br> African <br> American | Native <br> American / <br> Alaska <br> Native | Asian | Native <br> Hawaiian / <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other <br> Race |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Multiple |
| :--- |
| Race |


| Menard <br> County, TX | $6.01 \%$ | no data | $0 \%$ | no <br> data | no data | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | $2.44 \%$ | $0 \%$ | no data | no <br> data | no data | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | $17.41 \%$ | $0 \%$ | no data | $0 \%$ | no data | $29.73 \%$ | no data |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | $5 \%$ | no data | no data | no | no data | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | $3.04 \%$ | no data | no data | no | no data | $20.39 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Tom Green <br> County, TX | $6.75 \%$ | $16.28 \%$ | $30.91 \%$ | $0 \%$ | no data | $18.46 \%$ | $42.38 \%$ |
| Texas | $6.41 \%$ | $17.73 \%$ | $13.52 \%$ | $11.96 \%$ | $20.16 \%$ | $24.19 \%$ | $15.03 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $7.23 \%$ | $17.53 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $13.04 \%$ | $13.65 \%$ | $22.1 \%$ | $13.79 \%$ |

Poverty by Race Alone, Percent: Age 65 and Up


Non-Hispanic White
$\underset{\text { Native American /Alaska }}{\substack{\text { Native Hawaiian/Pacific } \\ \text { Islander }}}$
Report Area $\quad$ Texas - United States

Multiple Race

Poverty by Race Alone, Total: Age 65 and Up



## Employment

## Current Unemployment

Labor force, employment, and unemployment data for each county in the report area is provided in the table below. Overall, the report area experienced an average $3.2 \%$ percent unemployment rate in November 2017.

Unemployment Rate


| Schleiche | 1,302 | 1,263 | 39 | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| r County, |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 579 | 558 | 21 | 3.6\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 1,430 | 1,369 | 61 | 4.3\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |
| Tom | 53,377 | 51,724 | 1,653 | 3.1\% |
| Green |  |  |  |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 13,501,846 | 13,002,576 | 499,270 | 3.7\% |
| United | 161,580,86 | 155,179,63 | 6,401,226 | 4\% |
| States | 4 | 8 |  |  |

Data Source: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2017 -
November. Source geography: County



Unemployment, Rate by County, BLS 2017 - November


Over 12.0\%
9.1-12.0\%
6.1-9.0\%
3.1-6.0\%

Under 3.1\%
Report Area

## Unemployment Change

Unemployment change within the report area during the 1-year period from November 2016 to November 2017 is shown in the chart below. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, unemployment for this one year period fell from 2,923 persons to 2,105 persons, a rate change of $-1.2 \%$ percent.


County,
TX

| Irion | 25 | 24 | 3.27\% | 3.14\% | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County, |  |  |  |  | 0.13 |
| TX |  |  |  |  | \% |
| Kimble | 70 | 62 | 3.74\% | 3.36\% | - |
| County, |  |  |  |  | 0.37 |
| TX |  |  |  |  | \% |
| Menard | 36 | 38 | 4.38\% | 4.73\% | 0.35 |
| County, |  |  |  |  | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 91 | 55 | 5.69\% | 3.39\% | -2.3\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleich | 69 | 39 | 5.25\% | 3\% | - |
| er |  |  |  |  | 2.25 |
| County, |  |  |  |  | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 34 | 21 | 5.56\% | 3.63\% | - |
| County, |  |  |  |  | 1.94 |
| TX |  |  |  |  | \% |
| Sutton | 100 | 61 | 6.72\% | 4.27\% | - |
| County, |  |  |  |  | 2.45 |
| TX |  |  |  |  | \% |
| Tom | 2,298 | 1,653 | 4.26\% | 3.1\% | - |
| Green |  |  |  |  | 1.17 |
| County, |  |  |  |  | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 599,870 | 499,270 | 4.49\% | 3.7\% | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.79 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| United | 7,165,435 | 6,401,226 | 4.48\% | 3.96\% | - |
| States |  |  |  |  | 0.52 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \% |

Data Source: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2017November. Source geography: County



## Household Income

Median annual household incomes in the report area for 2016 are shown in the table below. Since this reports a median amount, a "Report Area" value is not able to be calculated.

| Report Area | Median Household Income |
| :--- | :--- |
| Coke County, TX | $\$ 41,529$ |
| Concho County, TX | $\$ 39,622$ |
| Crockett County, TX | $\$ 46,556$ |
| Irion County, TX | $\$ 67,249$ |
| Kimble County, TX | $\$ 40,118$ |
| Menard County, TX | $\$ 34,839$ |
| Reagan County, TX | $\$ 67,308$ |
| Schleicher County, TX | $\$ 47,258$ |
| Sterling County, TX | $\$ 60,577$ |
| Sutton County, TX | $\$ 54,760$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | $\$ 48,221$ |
| Texas | $\$ 56,583$ |
| United States | $\$ 57,617$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income Poverty Estimates. 2016. Source geography: County



Median Household Income by County, SAIPE 2016


## Commuter Travel Patterns

This table shows the method of transportation workers used to travel to work for the report area. Of the 68,439 workers in the report area, $80.2 \%$ drove to work alone while $10.5 \%$ carpooled. $0.2 \%$ of all workers reported that they used some form of public transportation, while others used some optional means including $3.9 \%$ walking or riding bicycles, and $1.7 \%$ used taxicabs to travel to work.


| Crocket | 1,752 | 80.4 | 16.7\% | 0\% | 0.6\% | 0\% | 2.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 740 | 80.4 | 2.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 13.2 |
| County, |  | \% |  |  |  |  | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 2,086 | 72.3 | 19.6\% | 0\% | 3.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.9\% |
| County, |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 833 | 63.8 | 30.4\% | 0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 4.6\% |
| County, |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 1,704 | 85\% | 10.9\% | 0\% | 2.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.9\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleic | 1,497 | 80.6 | 12.3\% | 0.7\% | 0\% | 2.1\% | 4.4\% |
| her |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 584 | 73.8 | 3.9\% | 0\% | 2.2\% | 5.5\% | 14.6 |
| County, |  | \% |  |  |  |  | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 1,711 | 83.1 | 10.1\% | 0\% | 1.6\% | 1.3\% | 3.9\% |
| County, |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom | 55,019 | 80.5 | 9.9\% | 0.2\% | 4.3\% | 1.8\% | 3.4\% |
| Green |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 12,237,5 | 80.3 | 10.6\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.4\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 58 | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| United | 145,861, | 76.4 | 9.3\% | 5.1\% | 3.4\% | 1.2\% | 4.6\% |
| States | 221 | \% |  |  |  |  |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County


## Non-Hispanic Commuters

| Report Area | Workers 16 and <br> Up | Travel by <br> Car | Use Public <br> Transit | Bike/Walk | Work from <br> Home |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 45,577 | $89.54 \%$ | $0.16 \%$ | $5.74 \%$ | $4.55 \%$ |
| Coke County, TX | 1,465 | $89.35 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5.67 \%$ | $4.98 \%$ |


| Concho County, TX | 1,048 | $91.51 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2.58 \%$ | $5.92 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crockett County, | 1,752 | $97.03 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.63 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion County, TX | 740 | $82.84 \%$ | $0.68 \%$ | $3.24 \%$ | $13.24 \%$ |
| Kimble County, TX | 1,535 | $90.81 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6.25 \%$ | $2.93 \%$ |
| Menard County, TX | 833 | $94.12 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1.32 \%$ | $4.56 \%$ |
| Reagan County, TX | 490 | $89.59 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $7.14 \%$ | $3.27 \%$ |
| Schleicher County, | 837 | $91.76 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.36 \%$ | $7.89 \%$ |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling County, TX | 584 | $97.74 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $7.71 \%$ | $14.55 \%$ |
| Sutton County, TX | 767 | $89.21 \%$ | $0.19 \%$ | $6.38 \%$ | $4.23 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, | 35,526 |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  | $90.21 \%$ | $1.53 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $5.17 \%$ |
| Texas | $7,868,124$ | $85.72 \%$ | $5.13 \%$ | $4.58 \%$ | $4.57 \%$ |

## White Non-Hispanic Commuters

| Report Area | Workers 16 and <br> Up | Travel by <br> Car | Use Public <br> Transit | Bike/Walk | Work from <br> Home |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 39,520 | $89.66 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ | $5.32 \%$ | $4.95 \%$ |
| Coke County, TX | 773 | $80.08 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $10.74 \%$ | $9.18 \%$ |
| Concho County, TX | 770 | $88.83 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5.19 \%$ | $5.97 \%$ |
| Crockett County, TX | 907 | $96.58 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ | $3.31 \%$ |
| Irion County, TX | 1,025 | $89.27 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ | $8.39 \%$ |
| Kimble County, TX | 1,272 | $90.41 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6.05 \%$ | $3.54 \%$ |
| Menard County, TX | 431 | $88.86 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6.03 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ |
| Reagan County, TX | 1,246 | $94.38 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $4.33 \%$ | $1.28 \%$ |
| Schleicher County, | 703 | $89.76 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ | $9.39 \%$ |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sterling County, TX | 454 | $83.04 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.88 \%$ | $16.08 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sutton County, TX | 920 | $93.48 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.98 \%$ | $5.54 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, 31,019 $89.5 \%$ <br> TX  $0.09 \%$ <br> Texas $5,724,939$ $90.44 \%$ <br> United States no data no data no data | no data | no data |  |  |  |

## Hispanic Commuters

| Report Area | Workers 16 and Up | Travel by Car | Use Public Transit | Bike/Walk | Work from Home |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 22,862 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Coke County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Concho County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Crockett County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Irion County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Kimble County, TX | 551 | 94.92\% | 0\% | 2.36\% | 2.72\% |
| Menard County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Reagan County, TX | 1,214 | 98.35\% | 0\% | 1.65\% | 0\% |
| Schleicher County, TX | 660 | 94.24\% | 1.52\% | 4.24\% | 0\% |
| Sterling County, TX | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |
| Sutton County, TX | 944 | 94.92\% | 0\% | 3.39\% | 1.69\% |
| Tom Green County, TX | 19,493 | 92.54\% | 0.19\% | 5.5\% | 1.76\% |
| Texas | 4,369,434 | 92.24\% | 1.57\% | 3.57\% | 2.62\% |
| United States | no data | no data | no data | no data | no data |

## Travel Time to Work

Travel times for workers who travel (do not work at home) to work is shown for the report area. The median commute time, according to the American Community Survey (ACS), for the report area is 1.64
minutes shorter than the national median commute time of 24.95 minutes.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Commute Time (mins) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report | Workers |  |  |  |  | Average |  |
| Area | 16 and Up | Time <br> in | Time <br> in | Time in | Time in | Commu te |  |
|  |  | Minute | Minute | Minute | Minute | Time |  |
|  |  | s | $s$ | $s$ | s | (mins) | $0 \quad 100$ |
|  |  | (Percen | (Percen | (Percen | (Percen |  |  |
|  |  | t of | $t$ of | t of | t of |  | Report Area (1.64) |
|  |  | Worker | Worker | Worker | Worker |  | Texas (24.77) |
|  |  | s) | s) | s) | s) |  | United States |
|  |  |  | 10 to | 30 to | More |  | (24.95) |
|  |  | than 10 | 30 | 60 | than 60 |  |  |
| Report | 68,439 | 26.71 | 54.57 | 9.18 | 5.96 | 1.64 |  |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke | 1,465 | 46.34 | 20.33 | 23.71 | 9.63 | 19.43 |  |
| County, <br> TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,048 | 33.57 | 41.28 | 17.44 | 7.71 | 21.03 |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 1,752 | 46.99 | 25.25 | 14.55 | 13.21 | -5.71 |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 740 | 33.64 | 29.91 | 28.04 | 8.41 | -13.51 |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 2,086 | 45.61 | 28.78 | 15.45 | 10.17 | 19.42 |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 833 | 47.55 | 17.74 | 14.47 | 20.25 | -12 |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 1,704 | 58.29 | 17.18 | 14.16 | 10.37 | 17.24 |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Schleich <br> er <br> County, | 1,497 | 47.59 | 20.82 | 16.7 | 14.88 | -6.68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling <br> County, | 584 | 56.51 | 26.45 | 8.82 | 8.22 | 15.92 |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton <br> County, | 1,711 | 54.93 | 27.49 | 10.04 | 7.54 | 19.18 |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom <br> Green <br> County, | 55,019 | 22.81 | 64.2 | 7.97 | 5.02 | -0.18 |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | $12,237,55$ | 12.44 | 49.98 | 29.7 | 7.88 | 24.77 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United <br> States | $2145,861,2$ | 12.88 | 50.11 | 28.33 | 8.68 | 24.95 |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: County



Average Work Commute Time
(Minutes), Average by Tract, ACS 2012-
16
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## Thirteen Month Unemployment Rates

Unemployment change within the report area from November 2016 to November 2017 is shown in the chart below. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, unemployment for this thirteen month period fell from $4.4 \%$ percent to $3.2 \%$ percent.

| Report Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | Mar | Apr. $201$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jul. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sep. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 201 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4\% | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4\% | 3.9 | 3.4 | 3\% | 3.2 |
| Area | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% |  | \% | \% |  | \% |
| Coke | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concho | 2.9 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3\% | 2.7 | 6\% | 6.2 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 6.8 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4\% | 4.1 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Irion | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 3.7 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4\% | 3.9 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3\% | 3.4 |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 3.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.7 |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 4.9 | 5\% | 4.6 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleiche | 5.2 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 5\% | 4.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3\% |
| r County, | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 5.6 | 5.5 | 6\% | 6.3 | 6.1 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3\% | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| County, | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 6.2 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5\% | 4.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.3 |
| County, | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tom | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4\% | 4\% | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 3.1 |
| Green | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5\% | 4.5 | 4.4 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4\% | 3.5 | 3.7 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% |
| United | 4.5 | 4.6 | 5.2 | 5\% | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4\% |
| States | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |

Data Source: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2017 - November. Source geography: County



Unemployment, Rate by County, BLS 2017 - November

Over 12.0\%
$\square 9.1-12.0 \%$
$\square .1-9.0 \%$
$3.1-6.0 \%$
$\square$ Under 3.1\%
$\square$ Report Area

## Five Year Unemployment Rate

Unemployment change within the report area from November 2013 to November 2017 is shown in the chart below. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, unemployment for this five year period fell
from $4.54 \%$ percent to $3.19 \%$ percent.

| Report <br> Area | Novembe <br> r | Novembe <br> r | Novembe <br> r | Novembe <br> r | Novembe <br> r | November$2017$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | $\rightarrow$ |
| Report | 4.54\% | 3.52\% | 4.38\% | 4.39\% | 3.19\% | 0 25\% |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke | 4.64\% | 3.85\% | 4.06\% | 3.81\% | 3.28\% | Report Area (3.19\%) |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  | Texas (3.7\%) |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  | United States |
| Concho | 3.94\% | 2.91\% | 3.84\% | 2.9\% | 4.28\% | (3.96\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { County, } \\ & \text { TX } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 4.88\% | 3.28\% | 7\% | 6.76\% | 3.32\% |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 4.03\% | 3.16\% | 3.21\% | 3.27\% | 3.14\% |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 4.56\% | 3.37\% | 3.92\% | 3.74\% | 3.36\% |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 5.13\% | 4.42\% | 4.99\% | 4.38\% | 4.73\% |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 3.46\% | 2.68\% | 5.88\% | 5.69\% | 3.39\% |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleiche | 3.12\% | 2.91\% | 5.57\% | 5.25\% | 3\% |  |
| r County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 3.36\% | 2.69\% | 3.47\% | 5.56\% | 3.63\% |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sutton <br> County, <br> TX | $4.03 \%$ | $3.28 \%$ | $7.74 \%$ | $6.72 \%$ | $4.27 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tom <br> Green <br> County, | $4.66 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $4.18 \%$ | $4.26 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | $5.67 \%$ | $4.53 \%$ | $4.42 \%$ | $4.49 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $6.68 \%$ | $5.58 \%$ | $4.86 \%$ | $4.48 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ |

Data Source: US Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. 2017 -
November. Source geography: County



Unemployment, Rate by County, BLS 2017 - November

Over 12.0\%<br>9.1-12.0\%<br>6.1-9.0\%<br>3.1-6.0\%<br>$\square$ Under 3.1\%<br>$\square$ Report Area

## Education

## Educational Attainment

Educational Attainment shows the distribution of educational attainment levels in the report area.
Educational attainment is calculated for persons over 25 , and is an estimated average for the period from 2012 to 2016.


| Reagan | $28.5 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

County,
TX
Schleich $23.71 \% \quad 24.6 \% \quad 28.2 \% \quad 4.4 \% \quad 13.2 \% \quad 5.9 \%$
er
County,
TX

| Sterling <br> County, | $14.25 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton <br> County, <br> TX | $23.35 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Tom | $16.72 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| Green <br> County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | $17.65 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $13.02 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: County



Population with No High School Diploma (Age 18 ), Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16

Over 21.0\%
16.1-21.0\%
11.1-16.0\%

Under 11.1\%
No Data or Data SuppressedReport Area

## Adult Literacy

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) produces estimates for adult literacy based on educational attainment, poverty, and other factors in each county.

|  |  | Percentage of Adults Lacking <br> Literacy Skills |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | Estimated Population <br> over 16 | Percent Lacking <br> Literacy Skills |  |
| Report Area | 99,156 | $16.14 \%$ | 50 |
| Coke County, TX | 2,829 | $14 \%$ |  |
| Concho County, | 2,142 | $20 \%$ | Report Area (16.14\%) |
| TX |  | $24 \%$ | Texas (19\%) |

Reagan County, 2,208 25\%
TX
Schleicher 2,110 23\%
County, TX
Sterling County, 1,017 16\%

TX
Sutton County, 2,998 25\%
TX
Tom Green
76,098 15\%

County, TX
Texas
$15,936,279$ 19\%

United States
219,016,209 14.64\%

Data Source: National Center for Education Statistics, NCES -
Estimates of Low Literacy. Source geography: County

Percentage of Adults Lacking Literacy Skills


Percent Lacking Literacy Skills
Report Area Texas United States

## Veterans - Educational Attainment

Veterans Educational Attainment contrasts the distribution of educational attainment levels between military veterans and non-veterans in the region. Educational attainment is calculated for persons over 25, and is an estimated average for the period from 2012 to 2016.

| Report Area | Veteran | Veteran | Veteran | Veterans <br> \% | NonVeteran | NonVeteran | NonVeteran | NonVeterans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | \% No | \% High | \% Some | Bachelor | S | S | S | \% |
|  | Diploma | School | College | s | \% No | \% High | \% Some | Bachelor |
|  |  | Diploma | Diploma | or Higher | Diploma | School | College | s |
|  |  |  |  | Diploma |  | Diploma | Diploma | or Higher |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Diploma |
| Report | 7.63\% | 26.33\% | 40.72\% | 25.32\% | 19.99\% | 31.09\% | 29.14\% | 19.79\% |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke | 6.33\% | 42.08\% | 36.2\% | 15.38\% | 15.5\% | 34.1\% | 29.38\% | 21.01\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Concho | 2.24\% | 35.43\% | 38.57\% | 23.77\% | 41.37\% | 26.98\% | 21.12\% | 10.54\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 11.83\% | 48.52\% | 20.71\% | 18.93\% | 27.36\% | 33.3\% | 28.86\% | 10.47\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 18.81\% | 31.68\% | 25.74\% | 23.76\% | 7.28\% | 38.77\% | 39.08\% | 14.87\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 6.42\% | 38.49\% | 27.74\% | 27.36\% | 18.82\% | 33.14\% | 32.93\% | 15.1\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 14.86\% | 36\% | 28\% | 21.14\% | 21.91\% | 36.01\% | 27.76\% | 14.32\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 5.56\% | 57.78\% | 20\% | 16.67\% | 29.41\% | 34.78\% | 24.53\% | 11.27\% |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleiche | 4.96\% | 41.84\% | 48.23\% | 4.96\% | 25.16\% | 23.24\% | 31.37\% | 20.22\% |
| r County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sterling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County, | $22.73 \%$ | $13.64 \%$ | $36.36 \%$ | $27.27 \%$ | $13.75 \%$ | $38.32 \%$ | $24.43 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: This indicator is compared with the state average.
Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County



No High School Diploma, Veterans, Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16


Over 14.0\%
11.1-14.0\%
8.1-11.0\%

Under 8.1\%
Of Veterans Age 25 , No Population with No High School Diploma

No Data or Data Suppressed
Report Area

## Housing

## Housing Age

Total housing units, median year built and median age in 2016 for the report area are shown below. Housing units used in housing age include only those where the year built is known.

| Report Area | Total Housing Units | Median Year Built | Median Age (from 2016) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 65,240 |  | no data |
| Coke County, TX | 2,664 | 1973 | 41 |
| Concho County, TX | 1,647 | 1967 | 47 |
| Crockett County, TX | 1,825 | 1968 | 46 |
| Irion County, TX | 867 | 1971 | 43 |
| Kimble County, TX | 3,438 | 1972 | 42 |
| Menard County, TX | 1,637 | 1964 | 50 |
| Reagan County, TX | 1,374 | 1978 | 36 |
| Schleicher County, TX | 1,491 | 1973 | 41 |
| Sterling County, TX | 593 | 1973 | 41 |
| Sutton County, TX | 2,001 | 1972 | 42 |
| Tom Green County, TX | 47,703 | 1974 | 29 |
| Texas | $19,441,643$ |  | 40 |


| United States | $134,054,899$ | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County


Report Area

## Homeowners

The U.S. Census Bureau estimated there were 34,279 homeowners in the report area in 2000, and 66.65\% owner occupied homes in the report area for the 5 year estimated period from 2012-2016.

| Report Area |  |  |  |  | Owner Occupied Homes 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Owner | Owner | Owner | Owner |  |
|  | Occupied | Occupied | Occupied | Occupied |  |
|  | Homes | Homes | Homes | Homes |  |
|  | 2000 | 2000 | 2016 | 2016 |  |
| Report Area | 34,279 | 66.65\% | 34,834 | 53.39\% | 0 100\% |
| Coke | 1,218 | 78.89\% | 1,114 | 41.82\% | Report Area (53.39\%) |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  | Texas (55.04\%) |
| Concho | 794 | 75.05\% | 582 | 35.34\% | United States |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  | (55.86\%) |
| Crockett | 1,086 | 71.26\% | 1,083 | 59.34\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |  |


| Irion <br> County, TX | 539 | $77.67 \%$ | 483 | $55.71 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kimble <br> County, TX | 1,371 | $73.47 \%$ | 1,575 | $45.81 \%$ |
| Menard <br> County, TX | 739 | $74.65 \%$ | 599 | $36.59 \%$ |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | 868 | $78.41 \%$ | 833 |  |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | 844 | $75.7 \%$ | 846 | $60.63 \%$ |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 388 | $75.63 \%$ | 358 | $56.74 \%$ |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | 1,096 | $72.34 \%$ | 980 | $60.37 \%$ |
| Tom Green <br> County, TX | 25,336 | $64.14 \%$ | 26,381 | $55.3 \%$ |
| Texas | $4,716,959$ | $63.8 \%$ | $5,747,458$ | $55.04 \%$ |
| United <br> States | $69,815,753$ | $66.19 \%$ | $74,881,068$ | $55.86 \%$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16.
Source geography: County



Owner-Occupied Housing Units, Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16


## Vacancy Rates

The U.S. Postal Service provided information quarterly to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development on addresses identified as vacant in the previous quarter. Residential and business vacancy rates for the report area in the first quarter of 2015 are reported.
For this reporting period, a total of 2,558 residential addresses were identified as vacant in the report
area, a vacancy rate of $3.7 \%$, and 711 business addresses were also reported as vacant, a rate of $10.6 \%$.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Residential Vacancy Rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report <br> Area | Residenti <br> al <br> Addresses | Vacant <br> Resident <br> ial <br> Address <br> es | Resident <br> ial <br> Vacancy <br> Rate | Business <br> Addresse <br> s | Vacant <br> Busines <br> s <br> Address <br> es | Busine <br> ss <br> Vacan <br> cy <br> Rate |  | $10 \%$ |
| Report <br> Area | 68,461 | 2,558 | 3.7\% | 6,710 | 711 | 10.6\% | $\square$ Report Area (3.7\%) |  |
| Coke <br> County, <br> TX | 1,293 | 58 | 4.5\% | 31 | 2 | 6.5\% |  <br> ```Texas (2.6\%) ``` <br> ```United States (2.6\%) ``` |  |
| Concho County, TX | 542 | 0 | 0\% | 25 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |
| Crocket <br> t <br> County, <br> TX | 134 | 2 | 1.5\% | 4 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |
| Irion County, TX | 249 | 1 | 0.4\% | 4 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |
| Kimble County, TX | 2,017 | 227 | 11.3\% | 242 | 40 | 16.5\% |  |  |
| Menard County, TX | 482 | 33 | 6.8\% | 7 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |
| Reagan County, TX | 1,443 | 64 | 4.4\% | 187 | 22 | 11.8\% |  |  |
| Schleich <br> er <br> County, <br> TX | 649 | 12 | 1.8\% | 23 | 0 | 0\% |  |  |


| Sterling <br> County, | 26 | 1 | $3.8 \%$ | 2 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton <br> County, <br> TX | 1,708 | 133 | $7.8 \%$ | 261 | 31 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Tom | 59,918 | 2,027 | $3.4 \%$ | 5,924 | 616 | $10.4 \%$ |
| Green <br> County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Texas | $11,854,52$ | 307,588 | $2.6 \%$ | $1,164,70$ | 106,323 | $9.1 \%$ |
|  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |
| United | $146,832,0$ | $3,825,19$ | $2.6 \%$ | $13,835,6$ | $1,232,9$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| States | 25 | 0 |  | 79 | 45 |  |

Data Source: US Department of Housing and Urban Development. 2016-Q4.
Source geography: County



## Number of Unsafe, Unsanitary Homes

The number and percentage of occupied housing units without plumbing are shown for the report area. U.S. Census data shows 307 housing units in the report area were without plumbing in 2000 and ACS five year estimates show 329 housing units in the report area were without plumbing in 2016.

| Report <br> Area | Occupied <br> Housing <br> Units <br> 2000 | Housin <br> g Units without <br> Plumbi <br> ng <br> 2000 | Percent without <br> Plumbi ng <br> 2000 | Occupied <br> Housing <br> Units <br> 2016 | Housin <br> g Units without <br> Plumbi ng <br> 2016 | Percent without <br> Plumbi <br> ng <br> 2016 | Percentage of Housing Units Without Complete Plumbing Facilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Report | 51,429 | 307 | 0.6\% | 55,001 | 329 | 0.6\% |  |
| Area |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ Report Area |
| Coke | 1,544 | 14 | 0.49\% | 1,567 | 0 | 0\% | (0.6\%) |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  | Texas (0.47\%) |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { United States } \\ & \text { (0.39\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Concho | 1,058 | 5 | 0.34\% | 790 | 1 | 0.13\% |  |
| County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crockett <br> County, <br> TX | 1,524 | 11 | 0.54\% | 1,431 | 0 | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irion <br> County, TX | 694 | 9 | 0.98\% | 632 | 2 | 0.32\% |
| Kimble <br> County, TX | 1,866 | 13 | 0.43\% | 2,119 | 0 | 0\% |
| Menard <br> County, TX | 990 | 11 | 0.68\% | 936 | 8 | 0.85\% |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | 1,107 | 4 | 0.28\% | 1,190 | 0 | 0\% |
| Schleich er County, TX | 1,115 | 6 | 0.44\% | 1,091 | 11 | 1.01\% |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 513 | 0 | 0\% | 453 | 0 | 0\% |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | 1,515 | 10 | 0.5\% | 1,481 | 0 | 0\% |
| Tom <br> Green <br> County, TX | 39,503 | 224 | 0.51\% | 43,311 | 307 | 0.71\% |
| Texas | 7,393,354 | 54,853 | 0.67\% | 9,289,554 | 43,464 | 0.47\% |
| United States | $\begin{aligned} & 106,741,4 \\ & 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 736,62 \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | 0.69\% | $\begin{aligned} & 117,706,2 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 453,65 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.39\% |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. US Census
Bureau, Decennial Census. 2011-15. Source geography: County

Percentage of Housing Units Without Complete Plumbing Facilities



Housing Units Lacking Complete Plumbing Facilities, Percent by Tract, ACS 2011-15


## Income

## Income Levels

Two common measures of income are Median Household Income and Per Capita Income, based on U.S. Census Bureau estimates. Both measures are shown for the report area below. The average Per Capita income for the report area is $\$ 26,016.57$, compared to a national average of $\$ 29,829$.

| Report Area | Median Household Income | Per Capita Income |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | no data | $\$ 26,016.57$ |
| Coke County, TX | $\$ 41,756$ | $\$ 27,755$ |
| Concho County, TX | $\$ 48,516$ | $\$ 16,599$ |
| Crockett County, TX | $\$ 50,646$ | $\$ 23,861$ |
| Irion County, TX | $\$ 64,100$ | $\$ 31,096$ |
| Kimble County, TX | $\$ 41,263$ | $\$ 26,969$ |
| Menard County, TX | $\$ 37,917$ | $\$ 23,331$ |
| Reagan County, TX | $\$ 59,257$ | $\$ 25,754$ |
| Schleicher County, TX | $\$ 59,766$ | $\$ 26,408$ |
| Sterling County, TX | $\$ 50,156$ | $\$ 25,104$ |
| Sutton County, TX | $\$ 54,567$ | $\$ 28,140$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | $\$ 47,637$ | $\$ 54,727$ |
| Texas | $\$ 55,322$ | $\$ 29,829$ |
| United States |  |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County



Per Capita Income by Tract, ACS 2012-
16

Over 30,00025,001-30,000
20,001-25,000
Under 20,001
No Data or Data Suppressed
Report Area

## Household Income

Median annual household incomes in the report area for 2016 are shown in the table below. Since this reports a median amount, a "Report Area" value is not able to be calculated.

| Report Area | Median Household Income |
| :--- | :--- |
| Coke County, TX | $\$ 41,529$ |
| Concho County, TX | $\$ 39,622$ |
| Crockett County, TX | $\$ 46,556$ |
| Irion County, TX | $\$ 67,249$ |
| Kimble County, TX | $\$ 40,118$ |
| Menard County, TX | $\$ 34,839$ |
| Reagan County, TX | $\$ 67,308$ |
| Schleicher County, TX | $\$ 47,258$ |
| Sterling County, TX | $\$ 60,577$ |
| Sutton County, TX | $\$ 54,760$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | $\$ 48,221$ |
| Texas | $\$ 56,583$ |
| United States | $\$ 57,617$ |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, Small Area Income Poverty Estimates. 2016. Source geography: County



Median Household Income by County, SAIPE 2016

```
Over $70,000
\square$50,001 - $70,000
$40,001 - $50,000
Under $40,001
\(\square\) Report Area
```


## Nutrition

## Free and Reduced Lunch Program

The following report shows that 13,964 students (or 54.84 percent) were eligible for free or reduced price lunches during the 2013-2014 school year, which is more than the national average of 52.35 percent.

| Report Area | Total Students |  |  | Percent Students Eligible for Free or Reduced Price Lunch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent |  |
|  |  | Free/Reduced | Free/Reduced |  |
|  |  | Price Lunch | Price Lunch |  |
|  |  | Eligible | Eligible |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 100\% |
| Report Area | 25,465 | 13,964 | 54.84\% |  |
| Coke | 538 | 293 | 54.46\% | Report Area (54.84\%) |
| County, TX |  |  |  | Texas (58.94\%) |
| Concho | 487 | 357 | 73.31\% | United States (52.61\%) |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Crockett | 828 | 421 | 50.85\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Irion | 326 | 136 | 41.72\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Kimble | 651 | 418 | 64.21\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Menard | 292 | 199 | 68.15\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Reagan | 907 | 470 | 51.82\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Schleicher | 580 | 359 | 61.9\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Sterling | 327 | 130 | 39.76\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Sutton | 911 | 492 | 54.01\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Tom Green | 19,618 | 10,689 | 54.49\% |  |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |


| Texas | $5,300,635$ | $3,123,844$ | $58.94 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| United | $50,611,787$ | $25,893,504$ | $52.61 \%$ |
| States |  |  |  |

Data Source: National Center for Education Statistics, NCES - Common
Core of Data. 2015-16. Source geography: Address


Students Eligible for Free or ReducedPrice Lunch, NCES CCD 2015-16
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## Children Eligible for Free Lunch (Alone) by Year, 2010-11 through 2015-16

The table below shows local, state, and National trends in student free and reduced lunch eligibility. Note: Data for the 2011-12 school year are omitted due to lack of data for some states.

| Report Area | $2010-11$ | $2012-13$ | $2013-14$ | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | $54.53 \%$ | $54.06 \%$ | $54.05 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $54.84 \%$ |
| Coke County, TX | $53.22 \%$ | $47.69 \%$ | $54.73 \%$ | $53.45 \%$ | $54.46 \%$ |
| Concho County, TX | $63.05 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | $66.88 \%$ | $71.62 \%$ | $73.31 \%$ |
| Crockett County, TX | $59.39 \%$ | $52.06 \%$ | $60.49 \%$ | $61.89 \%$ | $50.85 \%$ |
| Irion County, TX | $37.69 \%$ | $37.21 \%$ | $35.71 \%$ | $36.42 \%$ | $41.72 \%$ |


| Kimble County, TX | $56.12 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $60.66 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $64.21 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Menard County, TX | $73.33 \%$ | $67.94 \%$ | $67.82 \%$ | $65.25 \%$ | $68.15 \%$ |
| Reagan County, TX | $55.16 \%$ | $47.58 \%$ | $48.58 \%$ | $34.91 \%$ | $51.82 \%$ |
| Schleicher County, TX | $28.24 \%$ | $45.47 \%$ | $46.1 \%$ | $44.48 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ |
| Sterling County, TX | $50.93 \%$ | $46.54 \%$ | $45.48 \%$ | $37.89 \%$ | $39.76 \%$ |
| Sutton County, TX | $52.4 \%$ | $49.14 \%$ | $52.32 \%$ | $47.77 \%$ | $54.01 \%$ |
| Tom Green County, TX | $55.06 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | $54.04 \%$ | $52.38 \%$ | $54.49 \%$ |
| Texas | $50.26 \%$ | $60.26 \%$ | $60.08 \%$ | $58.75 \%$ | $58.94 \%$ |
| United States | $48.15 \%$ | $51.32 \%$ | $51.99 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ |



## Households Receiving SNAP by Poverty Status (ACS)

The below table shows that according to the American Community Survey (ACS), 6,023 households (or $11 \%)$ received SNAP payments during 2016. During this same period there were 4,983 households with income levels below the poverty level that were not receiving SNAP payments.

| Report | Househo | Househo | Househo | Househo | Househol | Househo | Househo | Househo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area | Ids | Ids | lds | Ids | ds Not | Ids Not | Ids Not | Ids Not |
|  | Receivin | Receivin | Receivin | Receivin | Receiving | Receivin | Receivin | Receivin |
|  |  |  | gSNAP | g SNAP |  |  | gSNAP | g SNAP |


|  | g SNAP <br> Total | g SNAP <br> Percent | Income <br> Below <br> Poverty | Income <br> Above <br> Poverty | SNAP <br> Total | g SNAP <br> Percent | Income <br> Below <br> Poverty | Income <br> Aboverty <br> Povert |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report <br> Area | 6,023 | $11 \%$ | 2,771 | 3,252 | 48,978 | $89 \%$ | 4,983 | 43,995 |
| Coke <br> County, | 134 | $8.55 \%$ | 79 | 55 | 1,433 | $91.45 \%$ | 186 | 1,247 |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tom | 4,992 | $11.53 \%$ | 2,210 | 2,782 | 38,319 | $88.47 \%$ | 3,621 | 34,698 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Green <br> County, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2012-16. Source geography: County
Households Receiving SNAP by Poverty Status (ACS)
Report Area

Receiving SNAP
Income Below Povery
Receiving SNAP
Income Above Povery


Households Receiving SNAP Benefits, Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16Over 19.0\%
14.1-19.0\%
9.1-14.0\%

Under 9.1\%
No Data or Data Suppressed
Report Area

## Health Care

## Federally Qualified Health Centers

Federally Qualified Health Centers in this selected area.

| County | Provider Number | FQHC Name | Address | City | Phone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concho | PN: 671862 | FRONTERA | 13 SOUTH MAIN | EDEN | (325) |
| County |  | HEALTHCARE EDEN |  |  | 869-8811 |
|  |  | CLINIC |  |  |  |
| Kimble | PN: 671970 | FRONTERA | 509 COLLEGE | JUNCTION | (325) |
| County |  | HEALTHCARE NETWORK | STREET |  | 446-3999 |
| Menard | PN: 671865 | FRONTERA | 119 ELLIS | MENARD | (325) |
| County |  | HEALTHCARE MENARD |  |  | 396-4612 |
|  |  | CLINIC |  |  |  |
| Tom Green | PN: 671891 | LA ESPERANZA CLINIC, | 35 EAST 31ST | SAN | (325) |
| County |  | INC | STREET | ANGELO | 223-8129 |
| Tom Green | PN: 451902 | LA ESPERANZA CLINIC | 1610 SOUTH | SAN | (915) |
| County |  |  | CHADBOURNE | ANGELO | 658-5339 |

Data Source: US Department of Health Human Services, Center for Medicare Medicaid Services, Provider of Services File. Dec. 2016. Source geography: County


## Medicare and Medicaid Providers

Total institutional Medicare and Medicaid providers, including hospitals, nursing facilities, Federally qualified health centers, rural health clinics and community mental health centers for the report area are shown. According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, there were 70 active Medicare and Medicaid institutional service providers in the report area in the fourth quarter of 2016.

| Report Area | Total Institutional Providers | Hospitals | Nursing Facilities | Federally <br> Qualified <br> Health <br> Centers | Rural <br> Health <br> Clinics | Community Mental Health Centers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Report Area | 70 | 11 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Coke <br> County, TX | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Concho <br> County, TX | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Crockett <br> County, TX | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Irion <br> County, TX | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Kimble <br> County, TX | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Menard <br> County, TX | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | 46 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Texas | 7,850 | 697 | 1,214 | 417 | 302 | 15 |
| United <br> States | 72,892 | 7,175 | 15,652 | 7,666 | 4,156 | 163 |

Data Source: US Department of Health Human Services, Center for Medicare Medicaid Services, Provider of Services File. Dec. 2016. Source geography: County


## All Providers of Service, POS Dec. 2016 <br> Report Area

## Persons Receiving Medicare

The total number of persons receiving Medicare is shown, broken down by number over 65 and number of disabled persons receiving Medicare for the report area. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services reported that a total of 26,593 persons were receiving Medicare benefits in the report area in 2016. A large number of individuals in our society are aware that persons over 65 years of age receive Medicare; however, many of them are unaware that disabled persons also receive Medicare benefits. A total of 3,633 disabled persons in the report area received Medicare benefits in 2016.

| Report Area | Persons Over 65 Receiving <br> Medicare | Disabled Persons <br> Receiving Medicare | Total Persons Receiving <br> Medicare |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Report Area | 22,962 | 3,633 | 26,593 |
| Coke County, TX | 746 | 89 | 834 |
| Concho County, <br> TX | 548 | 65 | 613 |
| Crockett County, 586 69 |  |  |  |
| TX |  | 27 | 298 |


| Kimble County, | 999 | 108 | 1,107 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TX |  |  |  |
| Menard County, <br> TX | 566 | 60 | 626 |
| Reagan County, <br> TX | 352 | 49 | 401 |
| Schleicher | 467 | 47 | 513 |
| County, TX |  |  | 21 |
| Sterling County, | 194 | 61 | 699 |
| TX | 638 | 3,027 | 20,622 |
| Sutton County, |  | $1,152,348$ |  |
| TX | 17,595 | $8,890,790$ | $57,152,995$ |

Data Source: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. 2012-16. Source geography: County



Insured, Medicare, Percent by Tract, ACS 2012-16
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## Uninsured Population

The uninsured population is calculated by estimating the number of persons eligible for insurance (generally those under 65) minus the estimated number of insured persons.

| Report | Insurance <br> Population <br> (2016 <br> Estimate) | Number <br> Insured | Number <br> Uninsured | Percent <br> Uninsured |  | Percent Uninsured |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Kimble | 4,453 | 2,396 | 758 | $24 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County, TX |  |  |  |  |
| Menard <br> County, TX | 2,163 | 1,048 | 464 | $30.7 \%$ |
| Reagan <br> County, TX | 3,625 | 2,541 | 843 | $24.9 \%$ |
| Schleicher <br> County, TX | 3,171 | 2,031 | 661 | $24.6 \%$ |
| Sterling <br> County, TX | 1,233 | 888 | 233 | $20.8 \%$ |
| Sutton <br> County, TX | 3,936 | 2,520 | 728 | $22.4 \%$ |
| Tom Green <br> County, TX | 116,264 | 79,088 | 17,141 | $17.8 \%$ |
| Texas | $26,956,435$ | $19,140,106$ | $4,536,765$ | $16.83 \%$ |
| United | $318,558,162$ | $237,874,187$ | $29,165,227$ | $9.16 \%$ |
| States |  |  |  |  |

Data Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. US Census Bureau, Small Area Health Insurance Estimates. 2015. Source geography: County



Uninsured Population, Percent by County, SAHIE 2015


Please see Community Needs Assessment Online Tool footnotes for information about the data background, analysis methodologies and other related notes.
Report prepared by Community Commons, March 29, 2018.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data compiled through Community Commons and American Fact Finder (United States Census Bureau). Information was analyzed by CVCAA Community Needs Assessment Committee (Executive Director and two ROMA Implementers).

